The Old Georgian version of the miracle of St George, the princess and the dragon: Text, commentary and translation. (Sharing Myths, Texts and Sanctuaries in the South Caucasus) Kevin Tuite, Université de Montréal

The frequently-repeated assertion that the land of Georgia and its people were named after St George (e.g. Haubrichs 1984; Riches 2000:1; Morgan 2006: 10) goes back at least to the time of the Crusades. The etymology, despite its appeal, is inaccurate: The alloethnonym *Georgian* and its West-European equivalents are derived from Persian *Gurj*- (Assfalg 1984; Khintibidze 2002). At the same time, it cannot be doubted that the figure of St George enjoys exceptional popularity among Georgians. Jacques de Vitry, the 12th-century Latin Patriarch of Jerusalem, noted that the medieval Georgian military aristocracy revered George as their "patron and standard-bearer",1 and perhaps the most emphatic confirmation of the special honor accorded to this saint is the number of Georgian churches dedicated to him. In his mid-18th c. "Geographical description of the Kingdom of Georgia", Vaxusht'i Bagrat'ioni declared that "there are no peaks or high hills upon which there have not been built churches to St George" (1973: 40). This can scarcely be deemed an exaggeration: out of a sampling of over two thousand Orthodox churches from all regions of Georgia, over a third were dedicated to St George.² More Georgian kings were named after him than any other saint, and Georgian royals were depicted invoking his intercession³. Mirroring the immense popularity of George as patron saint of the military aristocracy is the role played by figures bearing his name in the vernacular religious systems of Georgia and adjacent regions. In this paper, my focus will be one well-known episode from the life of St George, and the Old Georgian manuscript which contains its oldest known attestation.

The earliest texts referring to St George, dating back to the 5th c. (Detlefsen 1858; Haubrichs 1980, 1984), describe his martyrdom, and the long sequence of torments to which he is subjected by a king named Dadianos or Diocletian (Delehaye 1909: 50-59; Krumbacher 1911; Riches 2002). Not long afterwards, no later than the 6th-7th c., George is portrayed as an aggressor rather than a victim, spearing a dragon or serpent.⁴ On the outer wall of the Armenian church of the Holy Cross

¹ "There is also in the East another Christian people, who are very warlike and valiant in battle …. These men are called Georgians [*Georgiani nuncupatur*], because they especially revere and worship St. George, whom they make their patron and standard-bearer in their fight with the infidels, and they honour him above all other saints" (Jacques de Vitry, *Historia orientalis*, c. 1180; transl. Lang 1976: 11).

 $^{^2}$ Only Mary, the Mother of God, has comparable popularity, the two of them together accounting for a majority of the church names recorded in my database. In the much shorter list of Georgian churches compiled by Brosset (1842: 484-7), George and Mary likewise make up the majority of patrons, although Mary outnumbers George (79 to 52, vs. 114 others).

³ Several Georgian royals, including Queen Tamar, are portrayed praying to George in a fresco at Betania (Eastmond 1998: 163). King David the Builder is depicted alongside the saint in a 12th-century icon at the St Catherine's monastery in Sinai (K'ldiashvili 1989; Ševčenko 1994), and George is also said to have appeared to him at the Battle of Didgori in 1121 (*Kartlis cxovreba* I: 341).

⁴ Early representations of a mounted, dragon-slaying St George from the South Caucasus include the reliefs on the Brdadzori and Xožori stele in Georgia (Iamanidze 2014, 2016), both dated to the 6th or 7th c.

at Aghtamar/Ałt'amar (built 915-921), George is represented alongside two other mounted military saints, but his spear is directed at a man-like figure rather than a dragon. The motif of George killing a man in royal dress, sometimes identified as the emperor Diocletian, subsequently appeared in icons, frescoes and bas-reliefs throughout Georgia. One frequent variant of this motif pairs George slaying a king with a facing figure of St Theodore spearing a dragon, on either side of the church entrance, e.g. on the façade of Nik'orc'minda in Rach'a (c. 1010-1014); and the rear inner walls of churches in Lat'ali (c. 1140) and Ipari (10th-11th c.) in Upper Svaneti.

Over the centuries, miracle narratives are added to the St George cycle. The best-known of these, however, emerges comparatively late (Delehaye 74-75; Krumbacher 1911: 295-301). From the 12th c. onward, the story of George saving the daughter of a pagan king, who is about to be eaten by a dragon, is attested in Greek manuscripts. Not long afterwards, the miracle of the princess and the dragon appears in Latin — including the celebrated *Legenda aurea* — and numerous other languages (e.g. Church Slavonic by the 13-14th c, Rystenko 23-26). The oldest known attestation of this narrative, however, is in an 11th-century Georgian manuscript now held in the library of the Greek Patriarchate of Jerusalem, under the catalogue number Jer. Geo. 2.

In their respective studies of the legend of St George and the dragon, the Russian scholars Veselovskij (1880: 70-71) and Rystenko (1909: 456-474) attributed its emergence to a synthesis of Christian representations of the triumph of Good over Evil, and the ancient Iranian motif of a hero battling a dragon, expressed in the language of Byzantine hagiography by a monastic author. Drawing upon contemporary descriptions of the St George cult in the Caucasus — in church art as well as vernacular belief — Veselovskij and Rystenko identified Georgia, situated at the interface of the Byzantine and Iranian cultural zones, as a probable site of emergence of the princess-anddragon narrative. This intriguing but speculative hypothesis received important support from the art historian E. Privalova (1977), author of a monographic study of the frescoes in the 12th-c. church at Pavnisi in central Georgia. Privalova's interpretation of scenes from the life and martyrdom of St George, as depicted at Pavnisi and other Georgian churches from the 11th to 13th centuries, was guided by her familiarity with the hagiographic literature, including Jer Geo 2, to which she accords special attention. Several phrases from the manuscript are quoted in her analysis of the illustrations of George, the princess and the dragon, and she also provides a Russian summary of the narrative in Jer Geo 2 (1977: 73). Privalova's work took pride of place in C. Walter's (1995; 2003: 140-141) discussion of the princess-and-dragon miracle, which includes an English translation of Privalova's summary. Kuehn's recent work on the same motif (2011:109-110; 2014) draws on both Privalova and Walter, leading her to reinforce the hypothesis sketched out by Veselovskij and Rystenko over a century ago. After discussing the "iconographic semantics of the equestrian dragon-fighter ... in its heroic as well as saintly incarnation, [which] owe much to

ancient prototypes that germinated in the syncretistic melting pot of the great Near Eastern religions", Kuehn concludes that "it is therefore very possible that the miracle narrative of Saint George and the dragon originated in the Transcaucasian region, probably in Georgia, from where his cult and his fame spread throughout the Near East, as well as Europe" (2014:71).⁵

In view of the significance of the earliest known textual witness of the princess-and-dragon miracle for investigations into its origins, I will discuss the Old Georgian text from Jer Geo 2 here, as well as provide a transcription and translation. In upcoming work on vernacular and elite representations of St George in Transcaucasia, I intend to address the question of the site of origin of the narrative.

II. The composition of the Old Georgian narrative of the miracle of St George, the princess and the dragon. Before its transfer to the Patriarchate library, the manuscript belonged to the nearby Monastery of the Holy Cross, which for centuries was a major locus of Georgian monasticism and manuscript production, especially after the rebuilding of the monastery in the 11th c. (Menabde 1980: 69-139; Tchekhanovets 2012; 2018: 208). The manuscript Jer Geo 2 has been inspected and described on several occasions, notably by Cagareli (1888: 172), Marr (1911: XXXVIII-LXX), and Blake (1923: 357-362). It is a parchment codex of 268 folios, inscribed in the ecclesiastical *nusxuri* script, with numerous abbreviations (*karagma*), ascribed by Blake (1923: 357) to the 11th c., a dating accepted by Sarjveladze (1984: 598) and Gabidzashvili (2004: 365).6 The text comprises 23 sections, mostly saints' lives, including the biography of Grigol Xandzteli edited by Marr (1911). The second-to-last segment, on folios 238r-244r, consists of three miracle narratives featuring St George: the princess and the dragon (BHG 687 in Halkin 1957 I: 217-8), the overcoming of a demon (BHG 687k-m), and the tale of Theopistos and his lost oxen (BHG 689). The narratives of the first two miracles — the only ones ascribed to St George during his lifetime form a continuous text in Jer Geo 2, as well as several Greek manuscripts (Aufhauser 1911: 26); according to the texts, the demon miracle took place immediately after that of the princess-anddragon, as George was on his way home. The Theopistos miracle, attributed to St George after his martyrdom, is, on the other hand, preceded by an introduction ("Hear, o brothers, another wondrous miracle of the glorious arch-martyr George ...") similar to that introducing the princessand-dragon narrative. Because of the narrative and textual continuity of the princess-and-dragon and demon miracles, both will be discussed and translated here, although the primary focus will be on the first of the two. I intend to examine the Old Georgian recension of the Theopistos miracle in a separate paper. In the following sections, segments of the princess and dragon miracle, and also that of the demon, will be referenced by their line numbers in the appended texts.

⁵ See also White (2008: 152) and Armstrong (2016: 76).

⁶ A photographic reproduction of Jer Geo 2 is available on-line at the Library of Congress web site (https://www.loc.gov/resource/amedmonastery.00271072235-jo/)

2.1. Characteristics of the Old Georgian version

- (1). *Proximity to other Georgian versions*. I have compared several later attestations of the princess-and-dragon miracle narrative in the Old Georgian corpus to that in Jer Geo 2. The edition of the narrative prepared by Q'ubaneishvili (1946), on the basis of three mss from the 13th-14th cc. (Q-762, H-600, H-1760), follows Jer Geo 2 almost word for word: the handful of divergent readings are insignificant, except for two cases where I believe the Q'ubaneishvili edition preserves readings I would attribute to the antecedent of Jer Geo 2.7 One of these serves to correct a misspelling, and the other involves a lexical replacement (*vedrebay* "plea" for *qmay* "voice" in #81; see below). The text of the miracle in Sabinin (1882: 59-62) is more divergent, and includes an extensive interpolation after #34, at the end of the king's lament (K'ek'elidze 1948: 80-81). The morphology and orthography conform to the style of 18th- early-19th c. written Georgian, but in most respects the text is very close to Jer Geo 2 and the Q'ubaneishvili and Gabidzashvili editions.
- (2). Intertextuality: As Rystenko (1909: 456-7) had surmised with respect to the Greek version, the Old Georgian narrative of the princess-and-dragon miracle emerged in a context of monastic literacy. The text abounds in references to the Old and New Testaments, and possibly other ecclesiastical sources. Some such scriptural echoes could have been commonplaces used without reference to their source, e.g. the description of the grief-stricken king dressing his daughter "in royal purple" (p'orpiri sameupoy; cf Song of Songs 7:6) and "adorning her like a bride" (šeamk'o igi vitarca sdzali; cf. Isaiah 61:10). The overall distribution of the Biblical quotations within the narrative, especially the most explicit ones, strongly implies however that the author deployed these references strategically, in order to signal the Christian identity and holiness of the principal character. The Biblical references are concentrated in the words put in the mouth of St George, especially in the following two scenes:
- (i) *George's prayer to God for help subduing the dragon (##73-81).* After interrogating the princess about her identity and the deities worshipped by her people, George addresses a prayer to God, much of which consists in direct or near-direct citations from the Old Georgian translations of the Scriptures. Exact or near-exact quotations are marked with a <u>double underline</u>, whereas close but not exact citations are marked with a <u>single underline</u>.

	Georgian miracle narrative	translation	scriptural source	translation
73	და აღიხილნა თუალნი თჳსნი წმიდამან გიორგი ღმრთისა	And saint George raised his eyes toward God and said:		
	მიმართ და თქუა:	eyes to ward dod and said.		
74	ღმერთო <u>რომელი ჰზი ქერაბინთა</u>	God, <u>you who sit above the</u>	Daniel 3:55 კურთხეულ	Blessed are you, who sit
	ზედა <u>და ჰხედავ უფსკრულთა</u>	cherubim and look at the	ხარ შენ, <u>რომელი ჰზი</u>	with the cherubim and
		<u>abyss</u> ,	ქერობინთა და ჰზედავ	look at the abyss
			<u>უფსკრულთა</u>	

⁷ An edition of the miracle narrative also appears in Gabidzashvili (1991: 75-83), based on the mss Jer Geo 2, Q-762 and H-600. Wherever there is a divergence, however, Gabidzashvili almost invariably follows the later mss rather than Jer Geo 2.

St George, princess & dragon (Tuite) — page 5 — 30 July 2020

75	რომელი-ეგე <u>ხარ და ჰგიე</u> ჭეშმარიტი ღმერთი,	you who <u>are and remain</u> the true God,	Heirmologion (ms A603) რომელი იყო, <u>არს და ჰგიეს</u> მარადის უცვალებელად, დიღებული სამებაჲ	Who was, <u>is and remains</u> always unchanging, the glorious Trinity
76	შენ თავადმან უწყნი <u>გულის</u> <u>ზრახვანი</u> კაცთანი	you yourself know the <u>heart-thoughts</u> of men,	Lk 9: 47 ხოლო იესუ იცნოდა <u>გულისზრახვანი</u> მათნი	But Jesus knew their heart-thoughts
77	ძალნი აჩუენენ სასწაულნი საკჳრველნი მონისა შენისა მოსეს მიერ, აჩუენე ჩემზედაცა წყალობაჲ შენი,	you showed power and miracles and wonders through your servant Moses, show your mercy through me also,		
78	და <u>ყავ ჩემთანა სასწაულ კეთილ</u>	and make a good miracle with me.	Ps 85:17 <u>ყავ ჩემ თანა</u> სასწაულ კეთილ	Make a good miracle with me.
79	და <u>დამამორჩილე</u> ბოროტი ესე მვეცი ქუეშე ფერ <u>ვთა ჩემთა</u> ,	And <u>make</u> this evil beast <u>submit to me beneath my</u> <u>feet</u> ,	I Corinth 15: 27 რამეთუ ყოველივე <u>დაამორჩილა</u> ქუეშე ფერ _შ თა მისთა	For he <u>made</u> everything <u>submit beneath his feet</u>
80	რათა ცნან ყოველთა, ვითარმედ ჩემთანა ხარ!	that all will know that you are with me!		
81	და <u>მოიწია ჯმაი ზეცით</u> რომელი ეტყოდ: გიორგი <u>შეისმინა</u> <u>ვედრებაი შენი</u> ყურთა ოჳფლისათა, <u>ყავ რაიცა გნებავს,</u> რამეთუ მე შენთანა ვარ!	And there came a voice from above, saying: George, your plea has been heard by the ears of the Lord, do what you wish, for I am with you!	Life of St Eustochius & companions: <u>კმაჲ მოიწია</u> <u>ზეცით</u> , მეტყველი: <u>შეისმინა</u> <u>ვედრებაჲ თქუენი</u> და გეყო <u>თქუენ, კითარცა ინებეთ</u>	A voice came from above, saying: Your plea has been heard, it will be done to you as you wished

Alongside the biblical quotations are passages attested in other genres of ecclesiastic writing.⁸ The characterization of God as "you who are and remain [xar da hgie] the True God" echoes the same conjunction of two verbs of being — the copula (2sg xar; 3sg ars), and a now-obsolete verb which indicated stable, perduring existence (2sg hgie; 3sg hgies) — as is found in the 10th-c. liturgical codex A603 (G. K'ik'nadze 1982; cf also Hebrews 7:3); cf. Greek ho ōn kai diamenōn in the corresponding passage in some of the manuscripts collected by Aufhauser (1911: 63). The voice from heaven is also marked intertextually. One finds a close parallel in the martyrdom narrative of St Eustochius and his family (K'ek'elidze 1960: 155-9; Gabidzashvili 2004: 187-8), although the final part of the quotation has been inverted: "it will be done to you as you wished" (geq'o tkven vitarca inebet) rather than "do what you wish" (q'av rayca gnebavs).

(ii) *George addresses the people of Lasia after subduing the dragon (##99-101).* After the princess leads the dragon on a leash into the city, George demands that the people of Lasia believe in Jesus Christ, and in return he "will cause the dragon to die". The initial portion of his speech references the words of Moses before the miracle of the parting of the Red Sea (Exodus 14:13). This citation might well have been motivated by the earlier mention of God's having shown "power and miracles and wonders through your servant Moses" (#77). The verb mo=v-a-k'wd-in-o in #100 is the causative of mo=k'wd-eb-i-s "dies", and means literally "I will cause sb/sthg to die". In the Old Testament, this verb is employed to describe execution on judicial or religious grounds (cf. English "put to death"), or the causing of death by indirect means. After the king and the people confess

⁸ The quotation of Psalm 85 in #78 might also echo a citation of the same passage in the *Life of Grigol Xandzteli* (§69), which is included in the same manuscript (Marr 1911). Furthermore, in this context, St George is mentioned explicitly: "Let us call on St George and all the saints, and may the Lord make a good miracle (*da g'os upalman sasc'aul k'etil*)".

St George, princess & dragon (Tuite) — page 6 — 30 July 2020

their faith in the Christian God, George slays the dragon with his sword, but through the marked choice of the causative <u>mo=v-a-k'wd-in-o</u> rather than the expected <u>mo=v-k'l-a</u> "I will kill", an explicit link is made to a dragon-slaying incident from the (apocryphal) Old Testament, the tale of Bel and the Dragon (Daniel 14). Daniel causes the death of a dragon "without blades or clubs", by feeding it cakes made of pitch and hair, but like St George, he slays it in the presence of a king and his people in order to convince them of the power of the true God.

	Georgian miracle narrative	translation	scriptural source	translation
99	ხოლო წმიდაჲ იგი ეტყოდა მათ:	but the saint said to them: Fear	Exod 14:13 AKCS თქუა	Moses said to the people:
	ნუ გეშინინ <i>ა</i> რამედ <u>დეგით და</u>	not, rather stand and see God's	მოსე ერისა მიმართ:	Stand and see the
	<u>იხილოთ მაცხოვარებაჲ</u>	deliverance.	<u>დეგით და იზილოთ</u>	deliverance by the Lord
	ღმრთისა <u>ი</u>		<u>მაცხოვარება</u> უფლისა მიერი	
100	00 0 00 .	He said to them: Believe in my		But you, King, give me
	ჩუენი იესოჳ ქრისტე ჭეშმარიტი	lord Jesus Christ the all-	შენ, მეფეო, მომეც	permission and <u>I will</u>
	ღმერთი ყოვლად მლიერი და	powerful true God, and <u>I will</u>	ველმწიფება და	make the dragon die,
	<u>მოვაკუდინო ვეშაპი</u> ესე,	make the dragon die,	<u>მოვაკუდინო ვეშაპი</u> თჳნიერ	without blades or clubs
			მახჳლთა და კუერთხთასა.	
101	და არა მოიკლნეთ მის მიერ.	and you will not be killed by it		

(iii) Martyrdom narratives: The author of the princess-and-dragon text was clearly familiar with accounts of the martyrdom of St George. The names of the pagan gods worshipped by the people of Lasia (Herakles, Apollo, Skamandros and Artemis, #71) also appear in the martyrdom narratives in Old Georgian (Gabidzashvili 1991: 147); Greek (Krumbacher 1911: 6, 11, 22), Armenian (Peeters 1909) and other languages. The assurance by the voice from heaven that "I am with you" (#81) might also represent an echo of the martyrdom text (Gabidzashvili 1991: 59; Krumbacher 1911: 8), as does the phrase (itself a reference to the song of the the young men in the furnace in Daniel 3:55) "you who sit above the cherubim" (Krumbacher 1911: 25; Peeters 1909: 258). (iv) *Secular dragon-combat narratives:* Less certain, but probable, is the familiarity of the author with contemporary secular texts describing knightly heroes fighting dragons. The best known of these early chivalrous romances is the Amiran-Darejaniani, which was already in circulation at the time of Shota Rustaveli (c. 1200), and which was sufficiently popular that scenes from it were painted on the outer walls of a church in the Svanetian commune Lenjer (Tag'aishvili 1937: 330). Numerous dragon-combat scenes appear throughout the *Amiran-Darejaniani*, as do royal daughters, although they function primarily as trophies for the most valiant knights. Also of possible relevance is the Iranian epic Shah-nameh, composed by Firdawsi around the year 1000, and soon thereafter circulating among the Georgian elite. One of the key episodes of the epic is the defeat by the hero Fereidun (Geo. Pridon) of the sinister Zahhak (Geo. Zaak), who has two serpents growing from his shoulders, which feed on human brains. In both the Amiran-Darejaniani and the Old Georgian recensions of the princess-and-dragon miracle, the word designating the dragon is vešap'-; the same word designates Zaak's brain-eating serpents in the Georgian versions of the Shah-nameh. The noun vešap'-, of Iranian origin, appears in the Old Testament as the equivalent of both Greek <u>drako</u> (e.g. in Bel and the Dragon, and also with reference to the serpents created from

Aaron's staff in Exodus 7:9), and $\underline{k\bar{e}tos}$ (Gen 1: 21, the tale of Jonah in the belly of the whale; Gippert 1993: 317-329). As early as the 6th-7th century, however, the term $\underline{ve\check{s}ap'}$ - is applied specifically to the serpent-like beast slain by St George, as attested in the inscription on a bas-relief from Xožorni (Iamanidze 2014). A possible biblical source for the motif of a dragon threatening a woman is the passage in chapter 12 of the Book of Revelations, although it is not referenced explicitly in Jer Geo 2, as far as I can tell.

2.2. Jer Geo 2 vis-à-vis Greek versions of the miracle. Aufhauser (1911) undertook a detailed comparison and collation of twenty-five Greek attestations of the princess-and-dragon miracle, from manuscripts dating from the 12th to 17th centuries. I obtained photographic reproductions of fourteen mss collated by Aufhauser, as well as Messina S. Salv Gr 29 (which I will label ms \(\textit{\textit{E}}\)), and two others. The Georgian versions mentioned above and Aufhauser's Greek corpus are sufficiently close that one cannot reasonably doubt that they have a common source. My initial impression is that the manuscripts \(\textit{\textit{E}}\), U (Aufhauser's label for Athens 838, 16 th c.), A (Paris 770, c. 1300), and W (Bologna 2702, 15th c.), are the closest to Jer Geo 2, especially \(\textit{\textit{E}}\) and U, which at several points contains readings paralleling Jer Geo 2 which are lacking in all other Greek manuscripts studied by Aufhauser (notably, in ##10, 23, 60, 68). This being a preliminary study focused on the Georgian version of the princess-and-dragon miracle, I will leave any detailed comparison with the Greek corpus to specialists with the requisite knowledge, and limit myself to pointing out some divergences between the Georgian recensions and all or most of the Greek manuscripts which might prove to be diagnostic of the textual history of this narrative.

(a) The names of the city and its king. In all Georgian versions, the city where the miracle occurs is Lasia, ruled by a king named Selinos (##3-4). In the Greek corpus, the name of the city is the same, save for seven mss with variants (Lasaia, Lasiakē, Basiakē; Aufhauser 1911: 53). The name Selinos, however, appears in none of the mss collated by Aufhauser. The most common variants are Selbos or Selbios; other mss have Eusebios, Elbios, Seulbios; that is, most Greek variants agree with the Georgian as regards the first two consonants — /s/ and /l/ — but have /b/ instead of /n/ as the stem-final consonant. Mss F, G and E have Selb(i)on, and one 17th c. Greek text has Elin (Aufhauser 1911: 128). The closest phonetic match for Selinos, as Veselovskij (1880: 73) observed long ago based on information communicated to him by Cagareli, is the name of the city in the 13th c. Legenda aurea: Silena (Aufhauser 1911: 203). In another Latin ms (Vatican C 129, c. 1300), the city is once again named Lasia, but the king's name is Senius (Aufhauser 1911: 219), which implies that a variant of the name with the consonant /n/ was in circulation outside of Georgia. There has been much discussion about the origin of the names of the city and its king (Veselovskij 1880: 72-3;

⁹ On the Messina manuscript see Delehaye 1904, Krumbacher 1911: 250-251.

Aufhauser 1911: 73-76; Krumbacher 1911: 298; Ogden 2013: 404), but little in the way of a demonstrated connection to known people or places, or any other convincing explanation.¹⁰ (b) Mention of Diocletian, and second mention of Lasia. In #42, the Georgian recensions specify that, at the instigation of God, "King Diocletian released [George] from military service" (ganut'eos mgedrobay deok'let'iane mepeman). The name of Diocletian appears in none of Aufhauser's 25 mss, except U (apoluthēnai ton straton tou basileos dioklētianou) and E. These same mss are also alone among the Greek versions in containing a second reference to the city Lasia at #60 (upalo, ese ars kalaki lasiay "Lord, this is the city Lasia"; U \(\times\) Kurie, aut\(\bar{e}\) estin h\(\bar{e}\) polis lasia; Aufhauser 1911: 59). (c) Negotiating the conversion to Christianity. In the address to the people of Lasia mentioned in the previous section, George offers to make the dragon die if the king and the people convert (Geo. movak'wdino vešap'i ese "I will make this dragon die"; most Gk mss: apoktenō ton drakonta "I will kill the dragon"; mss VW eqō apoktēnai ekhō ton drakonta). The Georgian texts add: "and you will not be killed by it" (da ara moik'Inet mis mier, #101). Only four Greek mss examined by Aufhauser (1911: 66) have a passage paralleling this phrase. Manuscripts U, and the closely-related V and W, correspond well to the Georgian: U kai mē apoleisthe ex autou; VW mēdena aneleisthe hup' autou. Mss A and and Ξ however make the intended threat explicit: ei de mēge, aphiō auton, kai aneleisthe hup' autou "if not, then I will let it loose, and you will be destroyed by it".

(d) *The dragon's leash.* The high point of the miracle narrative begins with the appearance of the dragon (#82), and culminates in its subjugation and binding with a leash (#95). In all Georgian versions, the arrival of the dragon is preceded by the shaking of the reed-bed in the lake (*šeirq'ia lerc'movani igi*).¹¹ None of the Greek mss, however, mention the reed-bed.

Georgian mss 11- 14 th c.	#82-84 The reed-bed shook (<i>šeirq'ia lerc'movani igi</i>) and the woman cried out to George: Vaime, my lord, run away, behold, here comes the evil dragon!	#85-91 George confronted the dragon, and made the sign of the cross over it: Lord, my God, make this beast submit to me. Through the intercession of the Holy Spirit and his prayer (šec'evnita sulisa c'midisayta da locvita misita), the dragon fell at his feet.	#92-93 Then George ordered the woman: Undo your belt and hand it to me (gaiqsen sart'q'eli šeni da momartw aka)
Greek mss (Aufh. 64-65)	The maiden became afraid, saying 'Oimoi, my lord, go away, for the evil beast is coming!'	George stood before the dragon and made the sign of the cross: Lord, my God, make this beast submit to me, so that this unbelieving people will believe. Through the intercession of God and his prayer, the dragon fell at his feet.	And George said to the woman: Undo your belt and the cord of my horse and bring them to me (luson tēn zōnēn sou kai to skhoinion tou hippou mou kai phere moi ōde)

¹⁰ Aufhauser (1911: 76) resigned himself to the likelihood that "in Wirklichkeit wird wohl auch der Name des Königs wie jener der Stadt aus der schöpferischen Phantaisie des ersten Verfassers des Drachenwunders stammen". One notes the phonetic proximity of Selinos to <u>Siluanos</u>, who denounced George for his antipagan activities in some versions of the saint's biography (Veselovskij 1880: 193; Delehaye 1909: 67), and <u>Silenus/Silēnos</u>, tutor of Dionysos and mythic drunkard (A. Hartmann, in Pauly-Wissova 3A: 35-53).

¹¹ The emergence of the dragon from a reed-filled lake is also depicted in the fresco from Ik'vi (Privalova 1977: 80-82)

On the other hand, in all of the Greek versions, except for G, the maiden is instructed by George to remove both her belt, and "the cord of my horse" (to skhoinion/skēnion/doukalion tou hippou mou), with which the saint binds the dragon. He then hands the leash to the maiden, who leads the tamed beast into the city. The complementary distribution of the shaking reeds and the horse's cord lead me to wonder if the two textual variations could be connected somehow.¹² The Georgian word which I translate as "reed-bed" is *lerc'movani*, derived from the root *lerc'am-* 'reed' by addition of the attributive suffix *-ovan-*. The second component of the leash is described as a *skhoiníon* in all but eight of the Greek mss. In seven of the remaining ones, it is a *skēnion*, and ms A has *doukalion*. The noun *skhoiníon* 'cord, rope' is a derivative of *skhoînos* 'rush, reed'. The latter noun appears only a handful of times in the LXX (e.g. Micah 6:5, Joel 3:18), whereas skhoiníon is very frequent. The question arises whether skhoînos, as a translation of lerc'movani in a Greek text anterior to those in Aufhauser's corpus, was misread as a form of the higher-frequency skhoiníon, and moved to a context where it would make sense, a few lines further in the text. Renaud Gagné informs me that skēnion would have been homophonous, or nearly so, with skhoiníon in the Byzantine period, and thus could represent a simple misspelling (Gagné 2013: 28), of which there are countless examples in the Greek manuscripts (Aufhauser 1911: 45-47). The isolated word *doukalion* appears to be a borrowing from medieval Latin (ducale "rein, rope"; Niermeyer 1976: 360; R. Gagné & P. Bonnechère, p.c.), clearly a synonym for skhoiníon.

(e) Innovations in Jer Geo 2. In some instances, divergences between the Georgian and Greek recensions might be attributable to innovation or loss in the former, rather than the latter. The king's lament for the wedding banquet he fears that he will never arrange for his daughter (#32) appears to be an abridgment of the fuller, more poetically structured passages in Greek versions such as U ("When will I light the lamps? When will I gather the choral-dancers? When will I hear the melodies of the organ? When will I mix wine?"). At line #52, most Greek versions add "Get on your horse [anelthe epi tou hippou sou], and go away from here"; no Georgian versions refer to a horse at this point. I am not certain what to make of a divergence at #76. After "the (heart)-thoughts of men", the Greek mss add "that are vain" [hoti eisin mataioi]. This in all likehood echoes I Corinthians 3:20 ("The Lord knoweth the thoughts of the wise, that they are vain"). The Georgian reading of #76 bears a closer resemblance to Luke 9: 47. It remains to be determined whether the Georgian recension reflects the loss of part of a reference to I Cor 3:20, or, on the contrary, the Greek versions reflect a copyist's misunderstanding of which New Testament passage was being invoked.

¹² In the version of the miracle in the *Legenda aurea*, the maiden is instructed by George to throw her belt over the dragon's neck (*proice zonam tuam in collum draconis;* Aufhauser 1911: 205), without mention of a horse's cord or other element. Since the source of the *Legenda aurea* version also contained the name <u>Silena</u> (see above), it might well have been distinct from the common ancestor of the Greek recensions in Aufhauser's corpus.

III. The miracle of St George and the demon. Shortly after leaving the city of Lasia to return home, George encounters a demon of seemingly insignificant appearance, who addresses him by his name. He makes the sign of the cross around the demon and confines him there. The demon reveals that he is in fact second among the demons to Samael, the fallen archangel who tempted Eve and Adam in the Garden of Eden. The demon pleads for mercy, but George calls on God to throw him back into the fiery pit of eternal punishment. With another sign of the cross, George opens the face of a nearby cliff, casts the demon into hell, and orders the rock to close again.

This second miracle seems distinctly anticlimactic after the high drama of the princess and dragon episode, and none of George's military attributes are mentioned, neither horse nor weapons. There can be no doubt, however, that the two miracles were once frequently joined into a single narrative. Besides Jer Geo 2, the Messina ms Ξ and twelve Greek manuscripts in Aufhauser's corpus — including nearly all of those that predate the 15^{th} c. — attach the demon narrative to that of the princess and the dragon, with textual indications that the former directly followed the latter. I will leave for later the search for evidence whether the two miracle stories did or did not share a common origin, but I will point out one notable feature shared by the dragon and demon narratives. The victory of St George over the adversary is represented as consisting in two stages. First, George subdues the dragon and demon by the sign of the cross, then shortly afterwards he employs his physical strength to finish them off, beheading the one and throwing the other into hell. One has the impression that the emphatically Christian initial stage was intercalated into an older, simpler narrative of a hero defeating a supernatural enemy by brute force.

With respect to the Greek versions of the tale, some are close to the Georgian version, others somewhat longer (e.g. the text from ms A reproduced by Aufhauser 1911: 70-71). In Jer Geo 2, the demon, upon meeting the saint, "said to him calmly (dac'q'narebulad): 'George'". In most Greek versions, "he said to him 'Peace to you (eirênê soi), George'". It would appear that peace or calm is a component of the greeting in Greek, whereas it qualifies the tone of voice in Georgian; it remains to be determined which reading is prior to the other. In the Greek manuscripts, the name of the archdemon is variously given as Samaêl, Samouêl, Satana and Satanaêl, a variation also noted in the Old Testament apocrypha (Kulik 2010: 190, 209-210). Biblical references, such as the mention of humans as images of Himself created by God (#130, cf. Genesis 1: 26), are less evident.

IV. The Old Georgian language of Jer Geo 2. Consistent with the 11th-century date of the manuscript, the language of the St George miracle texts in Jer Geo 2 is Classical Old Georgian. I will limit my comments to selected language features which might help localize the writer's idiolect (or that of the producer of the manuscript from which the writer copied).

- **4.1.** Use of the letter \mathscr{C} (\check{e}). One notable divergence from normative Old Georgian orthography is the frequent absence of the letter \mathscr{C} (\check{e}), used to write the diphthong /ey/. As a consequence, the long-case nominative (see below) of /e/-final nouns is most often indistinguishable from the shortcase form (e.g. mepe instead of $mep\bar{e}$ in #15). Inconsistencies in the writing of \mathscr{C} were also noted by Marr (1911: XLIV, XLVIII) in other texts contained in Jer Geo 2. The frequent absence of the graphic representation of the diphthong /ey/ might have a phonological explanation. Diphthongs involving vowels further away from the high front articulation of /y/ are represented consistently in Jer Geo 2, e.g. sameupov "royal" (#22), zvuv (#7). The articulatory proximity of /e/ and /y/ could have contributed to the loss of the final glide in some varieties of 11th-century Georgian.
- **4.2. Noun classes and the marking of definiteness.** Old Georgian common nouns could be followed by a demonstrative pronoun which functioned somewhat like the definite articles of English or French (Tuite 2004). In line #7, for example, the dragon is first mentioned without an article (da gamočnda vešap'i borot'i</u> ... "And there appeared an evil dragon"), whereas at the second mention in the following sentence (#8), the article is used (... mok'lvad vešap'isa mis "to kill the dragon"). Furthermore, the case endings of nouns not marked by articles have contrasting short and long forms, marking a distinction which Vogt (1947) characterized as générique/spécifique. Common nouns thus have three nominative-case forms: (i) short case asul-ø "daughter"; (ii) long case asul-i "a daughter"; (iii) long case + article asul-i igi "the daughter". In the miracle texts, the short nominative, corresponding to the bare nominal stem, occurs for the most part with predicate nominals (#125 me viq'av šemk'rebel yrubelta "I was gatherer of the clouds"), verbs denoting becoming or doing (#78 da q'av čemtana sasc'aul k'etil "and do a good miracle with me"), and expressions of quantity (Theopistos: ars sigrdze gzisay ... ert st'adion "the length of the path is one stadion"); which is consistent with its use in other Old Georgian texts. Proper nouns, on the other hand, only appear with short case endings, whatever the context (Selinos-ø, Mariam-ø).

What is of interest is the existence of a third type of nominal in the miracle texts, with respect to the use of the article. The nominals *c'mida* "holy, saint" and *mepe* "king" are both employed as common nouns, which can be used as the head of a noun phrase, and as modifiers of a proper name. In the former context, however, *c'mida* and *mepe* most often appear without a definite article, even when the narrative structure would seem to require it. In line #95, for example, the saint, the woman and the dragon have all been mentioned in the preceding lines, but *c'mida*, unlike the other nouns, takes no article:

Xolo <u>c'mida-man</u> šek'ra <u>vešap'-i **igi**</u> da misca <u>kal-sa **mas**</u> "Then (the) <u>saint</u> tied <u>the dragon</u>, and gave it <u>to the woman</u>".

The three types of nominals, therefore, signal definiteness differently: no marking (short case) for personal names, long case only for titles, and maximal marking (long case plus postposed article) for common nouns. That being said, it should not be assumed that titles never appear with a definite article. In the princess and dragon narrative, and especially in the longer tale of Theopistos and his oxen, c'mida appears followed by a definite article at the beginning of episodes, or after a sequence of sentences with other referents as topics. When attached to c'mida and mepe the demonstrative *igi* and its oblique stem *ma*-, rather than simply marking definiteness, have resumptive force, renewing the topicality of an already-introduced referent. In the Old Georgian corpus, the treatment of *mepe* as a formally distinct type of noun (which I provisionally label "title") is not rare. But the inclusion of c'mida in the class of titles is far less common. In most of the texts I examined, *c'mida* patterns like a common noun, with respect to the use of the definite article. The exceptions, as far as I have been able to tell, are hagiographic texts from the 10th-12th centuries, such as the lives of Sts Symeon Stylites and his mother Martha, Ephrem of Syria and John Chrysostom (Gabidzashvili 2004 ##1027, 751, 397, 577); and also a handful of attestations in the Life of Grigol Xandzteli. The morphosyntax of titles, in the sense I intend here, could be a useful clue to identifying the milieu in which the earliest Old Georgian narratives of St George's miracles were produced.

4.3. Rare or archaic words "corrected" in later manuscripts. As mentioned above, the later Georgian editions of the princess-and-dragon miracle diverge relatively little from Jer Geo 2. In some places, however, words from the older version have been replaced or modified, including some lexemes which are rare in the Old Georgian literary corpus. The verb describing George's turning toward the lake to water his horse (#46) is mi-u-kci-a, a 3sg aorist with the preradical (or "version") vowel -<u>u</u>-, which typically signals a 3rd-person indirect object.¹³ Later versions of this passage substitute the more common verb *mi-a-kci-a*, with a different preradical vowel. In the Old Georgian texts which I have examined so far, miukcia in the sense "turn toward, stop at (a place)" is attested a half-dozen times in the Old Testament, and once in the *Knight in the panther's skin*. Another rare form that a later copyist felt obliged to "correct" is the verb *da-m-a-morčil-e* "make it submit to me" in #79. Here as well it was the preradical vowel that was at issue: the vowel $-\underline{a}$ - can signal what grammarians refer to as a "superessive" object, which typically denotes the surface or site where an action takes place. In Modern Georgian and most Old Georgian attestations, including later readings of this line, the verb root morčil-, if it takes an indirect object, marks it with the beneficiary version vowel -u-. Instances of this verb with a superessive object (lit. "submit onto me") are attested in a handful of Old Georgian texts, including the 9th-c. Sinai Mravaltavi.

¹³ On "version" in Georgian and its sister languages, see Boeder 1968, Tuite 2020

St George, princess & dragon (Tuite) — page 13 — 30 July 2020

Two other modifications worth noting are:

- (i) The 3rd-person object prefix -h- in the verb *še-h-č'am-d-a* "was eating them" (lines ## 8 & 61), was replaced by the phonetically-conditioned allomorph -<u>š</u>- in later manuscripts (*še-š-č'am-d-a*). Shanidze (1920) considered the use of –h-, rather than a sibilant allophone, before a dental or alveolar occlusive to be an archaism harking back to pre-classical Old Georgian (see also Sarjveladze 1984: 44). Scattered examples of the sequence *h-č'am* are attested in 9th-10th-century versions of the Gospels; and in some recensions of the Old Testament. A similar instance of -h-before an alveolar occlusive was noticed elsewhere in Jer Geo by Marr (1911: LII).
- (ii) The dative suffix after *xut* "five" in #108: *natel-sca ormeoc da xut-sa atas-sa* "he baptized forty-five thousand". In Jer Geo 2, the numeral modifying "thousand" agrees with it for dative case. In the later versions, the modifying numeral is marked by the unvarying, formally nominative suffix -i (*ormeoc da xut-i atas-sa*), which remains the dominant usage in Modern Georgian.

V. Two miracles of St George from Jer Geo 2 (11th). Here are the texts of the two miracle narratives from Jer Geo 2, in modern Georgian script, accompanied by close (but not necessarily literal) English translations. Corrections to the Jer Geo 2 text, based on comparison with later versions, are marked by (corr), and underlining of the letter or word that has been altered. Letters omitted in *karagma* abbreviations are set between brackets (...). The capital letters in the third column denote those Greek manuscripts in the corpus examined by Aufhauser (1911: 51) which come closest to the Georgian readings of the passage indicated. The letter is set in parentheses if the Greek reading is close but not a direct translational equivalent of the Georgian. Where no letter is shown, all or most Greek versions are equally close to the Georgian for a given passage. Also indicated are likely Biblical sources of passages in the text.

5.1. The miracle of the princess and the dragon.

	Georgian text Jer Geo 2 (11 th c.)	translation (KT)	parallels
1	ისმინეთ ძმანო ჩემნო საკვრველი დიდი და დიდებული რ(ომე)ლი იქმნა წ(მიღ)ისა და დ(იდე)ბ(უ)ლისა და დიდისა მ(ო)წ(ა)მისა გ(იორგ)ის მ(იე)რ .	Hear, my brothers, the great and glorious wonder that was done by the holy and glorious and great martyr George.	Ü
2	იყო ჟამთა მ(ა)თ ვ(იდრ)ელა ბრწყინვიდა ქვ(ეყანა)სა ზ(ედ)ა წ(მიდა)ჲ იგი პ(ირვე)ლ წამებისა მისისა	It was in those times while the saint shone upon the earth, before his martyrdom.	(UE)
3	იყო ქ(ა)ლ(ა)ქი ერთი რ(ომე)ლსა ეწოდებოდა ლასია.	There was a city which was called Lasia.	
4	და იყო ქ(ა)ლ(ა)ქსა მას ში(ნ)ა მ(ე)ფე სახელით სელინოს.	And in that city was a king of the name Selinos.	(Legenda aurea)
5	და იყო იგი უკეთურ და კერპთმსახურ და უშჯულო და ულმობ(ე)ლ და უწყალო ქ(რისტე)ს მ(ო)რწმ(უ)ნ(ე)თა მიმ(ა)რთ.	And he was wicked and an idol-worshipper and an unbeliever, and merciless and pitiless toward the believers in Christ.	
6	და მსგავსად ბოროტთა საქმეთა მისთა მიაგო მას ო(კფალმა)ნ	And God requited him in accordance with his evil deeds.	2Tim 4:14
7	რ(ამეთუ) მახლობლად ქ(ა)ლ(ა)ქისა მის იყო ტბაჲ შესაკრებელი წყალთა მრავალთაჲ ვ(ითარც)ა ზღუაჲ.	For near the city there was a lake filled with much water, like a sea.	AUE (W)
8	და გამოჩნდა ვეშაპი ბოროტი წყალთა მ(ა)თ შ(ინ)ა ტბისათა და მარადღე გ(ა)ნვიდოდა და მოჰსრვიდა და გ(ა)ნჰლევდა და შეჰკამდა მ(ა)თ,	And there appeared an evil dragon in the waters of the lake, and each day it went out and slaughtered and consumed and ate them.	
9	და მრავალ გზის შეკრიბა მეფემ(ა)ნ მვედრებაჲ მოკლვად ვეშაპისა მის და ვერ უძლეს	And many times the king gathered his soldiers to kill the dragon, and they were unable to,	
10	რ(ამეთუ) იყო იგი მძჳნვარე და დიდ.	For it was ferocious and big.	(UΞ)
11	მაშინ შეკრბა ყ(ოველ)ი იგი ქ(ა)ლ(ა)ქი. დ ვმობღეს მეფისა მიმ(ა)რთ და იტყოღეს ვ(ითარმე)დ:	Then all of the city gathered, and cried out to the king, and said:	
12	რაჲ ვყოთ ჵ მეფ(ე)ო,	What can we do, O king,	UΞ
13	რ(ამეთუ) საყოფელი ქ(ა)ლ(ა)ქისა ჩ(უე)ნისაჲ კეთ(ი)ლ(ა)რს, და ჩ(უე)ნ ბოროტად წარვწყმდებით,	for our city is a fine dwelling-place, and we are perishing wretchedly.	
14	და შ(ე)ნ მეფჱ არა ჰზრუნ(ა)ვ ამისთჳს არცა ილუწი ვ(ითარც)ა მეფენი ყ(ოვლ)ისა ქ(უე)ყნისანი.	And you, king, do not care about this, nor do you act, as do the kings of all countries.	U (Ξ)
15	მ აშინ ტკივნეულ იქმნა მეფე იგი. და უფროჲს-ღა შეეშინა და ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა მ(ა)თ:	Then it became painful for the king, and he was more frightened, and said to them:	U
16	აღწერეთ ერთი კელით წერ(ი)ლი	Write a document,	
17	და მისცენით შვ(ი)ლნი თქ(უე)ნნი შესაწირავად	and give your children as sacrifices,	U (ATW)
18	და ოდეს დაესრულნენ თქ(უე)ნ ყ(ოვე)ლთ(ა)ნი	and when all of yours will be used up,	U
19	არს ასული ჩემი მხოლოდ შობილი მეცა მივსცე იგი შესაწირავად ვ(ითარც)ა თქ(უე)ნ,	there is my only-begotten daughter, and I too will give her as a sacrifice, like you,	(VE)
20	და არა გ(ა)ნვცჳვეთ ქ(ა)ლ(ა)ქისაგ(ა)ნ ჩ(უე)ნისა.	and we will not be dispersed from our city.	
21	და სთნდა ყ(ოვე)ლთა სიტყ(უა)ჲ მისი. და იწყო კაცად კაცადმ(ა)ნ მიცემაჲ შვილთა თჳსთაჲ ვ(იდრემ)დის მიიწია მეფისა.	His words pleased them all, and they began to give their children, one after the other, until it came to the king.	
22	ხ (ოლო) მეფემ(ა)ნ შეჰმოსა ასულსა თჳსსა პორფირი სამეუფოჲ	Then the king dressed his daughter in royal purple,	Song 7:6

St George, princess & dragon (Tuite) — page 15 - 30 July 2020

	Georgian text Jer Geo 2 (11 th c.)	translation (KT)	parallels
23	და შეამკო იგი ვ(ითარც)ა სმალი,	and adorned her like a bride,	UΞ; Isa 61:10
24	და იწყო ამბორისყოფად მისა და გოდებით და	and he began to kiss her, saying with	,
	ცრემლით ეგყოდა:	lamentation and tears:	
25	წარვედ მხოლოდ შობილო და ტკბილო ასულო ჩემო	Go my only-begotten, sweet daughter, to be	
	შესაჭმელად ვეშაპისა,	eaten by the dragon.	
26	ვაჲმე საწადელო შვ(ი)ლო ჩემო	Alas, my dear child,	
27	შ(ე)ნ იყ(ა)ვ ნუგეშინის მცემელ და მკვდრ	you were the comfort-giver and inheritor of	(UE)
	მეფობისა ჩემისა	my kingdom,	
28	და სინათლე თუ ^ა ლთა ჩემთა	and the light of my eyes,	
29	და მოსალოდებელ ქორწილისა და სიძისა და აჰა ესერა საჭმლად მჯეცისა წარივლინები!	and expecting a wedding and a bridegroom, and behold, you will leave to be eaten by the beast!	υΞ
30	ვაჲმე, ვითარსა-ღა ქორწილსა აღვასრულებ	Alas, what kind of wedding will I make,	
31	ანუ რაბამსა სასძლოსა შეგიმზაღებ,	or what size of bridal-chamber will I prepare for you,	
32	გინა ვითართა ორღანოთა და სახიობათა და ლამპართა და მოსმურთა და მეინავეთა აღგიმზადებ?	or what musical instruments and singing and lamps, and drinkers and banquet-guests will I prepare for you?	
33	ვაჲმე საწადელო შვილოჩემო. რ(ამეთუ) არღარა სადა ვიხილო პირი შ(ე)ნი. არცა ნაყ(ო)ფი მუცლისა შ(ე)ნისაჲ,	Alas, my dear child, for I will never again see your face, nor the fruit of your womb,	Lk 1:42
34	რ(ამეთუ) აჰა ესერა გ(ა)ნმეშორები თვნიერ ზოგადისა სიკუდილისა!	for behold, you will take leave of me, without a common (normal, natural) death	FG (UΞ)
35	და მოექცა და ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა ერსა მას:	He turned and spoke to the people:	
36	მიიღეთ რავდენი გნებავს ოქროჲ და ვეცხლი და მისთანა მეფობ(ა)ჲცა ჩემი, და გ(ა)ნათავისუფლეთ შვილი ჩ(ე)მი!	Take gold and silver, as much as you wish, and with it my kingdom, and set my child free!	UΞX
37	და არავინ ისმინა მისი და არცა შეუნდო ამისთჳს,	And no one listened to him, nor did they forgive him,	
38	რ(ამეთუ) მას გ(ა)ნეწესა გ(ა)ნჩინებ(ა)ჲ იგი პ(ირველ)ითგ(ა)ნ.	because he had first instituted the decree,	
39	და ვ(ითარც)ა იხ(ი)ლა მიუდრეკელობაჲ ერისაჲ მის, მიუბოძა მათ ასული თვ(ი)სი.	and as he saw the unyieldingness of the people, he gave them his daughter.	UΞ
40	მაშინ შეკრბა ყ(ოველ)ი იგი ქ(ა)ლ(ა)ქი დიდითგ(ა)ნ ვ(იდრ)ე მცირემდე მათდა ხილვად ქალისა მის.	Then the entire people of the city gathered, from the old to the young, to watch the maiden.	
41	ხ(ოლო) კ(ა)ცთმოყუ(ა)რ(ე)მ(ა)ნ და მრავალ- მოწყალემ(ა)ნ ღ(მერთმა)ნ ინება, რ(ათ)ა აჩუენოს სასწაულები წ(მიღ)ისა მოწამისა გ(იორგ)ის მ(იე)რ.	But loving and all-merciful God wished to show miracles and signs through the holy martyr George,	
42	ამიზთვზცა მათ დღეთა შ(ინ)ა განაგო რ(ათ)ა გ(ა)ნუტეოს მვედრობაჲ დეოკლეტიანე მეფემ(ა)ნ.	therefore during those days He made it happen, that King Diocletian released him from the army.	(UE)
43	ვინაჲცა მოვიდოდა დიდ(ე)ბ(უ)ლი გ(იორგ)ი კაბადუკიი <i>სა სოფლად და თვსად</i> მამულად.	Thus the holy and glorified George was coming toward the land of Cappadocia to his homestead,	
44	და მოღუაწებითა ღ(მრთისა)ჲთა მოიწია მას აღგილსა	and through the action of God, he came to that place,	Col 1:25
45	მას დღესა შ(ინ)ა, რ(ომე)ლსა შ(ინ)ა ეგულებოდა ვეშაპსა მას შეკმაჲ ქალისაჲ მის და წარწყმედაჲ.	on that day, when the dragon was to eat and destroy the woman.	(UE)
46	მიუქცია ტბად რ(აჲთ)ამცა ასუა წყალი ჰუნესა თვსსა,	And he turned toward the lake, to let his horse drink water,	
47	და პოვა ქალი იგი მჯდომარე კიდესა ტბისსა,	and found the maiden seated at the edge of the lake,	AUW
48	და მწარედ მტირალი.	weeping bitterly.	
49	და ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა მას წ(მიდამა)ნ; დედაქ(ა)ცო რაჲსა სტირ ანუ რად ჰზი ადგილსა ამას?	Then the saint said to her: Woman, why do you weep, and why are you sitting at this place?	UΞ
50	მიუგო ქალმ(ა)ნ მ(ა)ნ და ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა მ(ა)ს: გხედავ შ(ე)ნ ო(ჳფალ)ო ჩემო ჰაეროვანსა და შუენიერსა ჰასაკითა	The maiden answered him and said: I see you, my lord, handsome and in the bloom of youth,	
51	და ვ(ითა)რ მოხუედ აქა მოსიკუდიდ?	and why did you come here to die?	
	წარვედ ამიერ	Go away from here,	D (GUVW)
52 53	და მოსწრაფედ ივლტოდე!	and flee quickly!	()

St George, princess & dragon (Tuite) — page 16 - 30 July 2020

	Georgian text Jer Geo 2 (11 th c.)	4	nanallala
E 1		translation (KT)	parallels
54	b(mm) $\mathcal{F}(\partial n \omega \partial s)$	Then the saint said to her: Woman, who (lit.	
	b(s) & d(j) & sey was solv joo ala o (maje) a	what) are you, and who are these people	
<i>E E</i>	გხედავს შ(ე)6?	looking at you?	
55	ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა მას ქალმ(ა)ნ მ(ა)ნ: ო(ჳფალ)ო ჩემო	The maiden said: My lord, my story has many	
F.C	მრავალ არს ჰამბავი ჩემი და გრმელ	parts and is long,	
56	და ვერ ძალმიც მითხრობად შ(ე)ნდა,	and I cannot tell it to you,	
57	ა(რამე)დ მოსწრაფებით ივლტოდე რ(ათ)ა არა	rather, flee quickly, that you not die	
= 0	ბოროტად მოჰკუდე!	wretchedly!	
58	ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა მას წ(მიდამა)ნ გიორგი: მითხარ	The saint said to her: Tell me everything,	L
	y(mane)nan		
59	და შ(ე)ნ თანა მოვკუდე და არა დაგიტეო შ(ე)ნ!	and I will die with you, and not leave you!	
60	მაშინ ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა მას ქალმ(ა)ნ მ(ა)ნ ვ(ითარმე)დ:	Then the maiden said to him: Lord, this is the	UΞ
	ო(૪ૹ૩૦૦) ન ესე არს ქ(ა)ლ(ა)ქი ლასიაჲ, და არს ესე	city Lasia, and it is a good living-place for	
	კეთილ საცხორებელად კ(ა)ცთა	men,	
61	და წყალთა ამ(ა)თ შ(ინ)ა მკვდრ არს ვეშაპი და	and in the waters there dwells a dragon, that	
	შეჰჭამს ^{იგი} კ(ა)ცთა ამის ქ(ა)ლ(ა)ქისათა და	eats the men of this city and slaughters the	
	მოსრავს ერსა.	people.	
62	და მე ვარ ასული მეფისაჲ მხოლოდშობილი.	And I am the only-begotten daughter of the	
		king,	
63	და ბრძანებაჲ დაღვა მამამ(ა)ნ ჩემმ(ა)ნ,	and my father gave an order,	
64	რ(ათ)ა მისცემდენ ყ(ოველ)ნი შ(ემ)დ ^{ვო} მითი	that all give their children, one after the other,	
	შ(ემდგომა)დ შვილთა თჳსთა დღითი დღედ.	each day,	
65	და ვ(ითარ)ცა მოესრულნეს ყ(ოველ)ნი,	and when they all had been finished	UΞ (DT)
66	მოვიდა ხუედრი მამისა ჩემისაჲ და წარმომავლინა მე	there came my father's turn, and he sent me	
	საჭმლად ვეშაპისა.	for the dragon to eat.	
67	და აჰა ესერა გითხარ შ(ე)ნ	And behold I told you all,	
68	წარვედ მშვდობით!	go in peace!	AUΞW
69	ესმა რაჲ ესე წ(მიდას)ა ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა მას:	When the saint heard this, he told her: From	(Z); Deut
0)	ამიერითგ(ა)ნ ნულარა გეშინის, ნუცა სძრწი,	now on, do not be afraid, and do not tremble,	1:21
70	ა(რამე)დ მითხარ მე მამად შ(ე)ნი და მისთაზნი	but tell me: your father and all those with him,	UΞ
70	ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი	what god do they serve?	
71	ყ(ოვე)ლნი რ(ომე)ლსა ღ(მერ)თსა ჰმსახურებენ? ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა მას ქალმ(ა)ნ მ(ა)ნ: ირაკლის , და	The maiden told him: Herakles and Apollo	
/ 1	აპოლონს . და სკამანდროს . და დიდსა ღ(მერთს)ა	and Skamandros and the great goddess	
	არტემის.	Artemis.	
72	ხ(ოლო) წ(მიდამა)ნ ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა: ნუ გეშინინ,	Then the saint said to her: Do not fear, but be	
12	\(\lambda \gamma \gam	fearless and bold!	
73	ა(რამე)დ უშიშ და კადნიერ იქმენ! და აღი ხილნა თუალნი თვსნი წ(მიდამა)ნ გ(იორგ)ი	And saint George raised his eyes toward God	AUΞ
75	ღ(მრთ)ისა მიმართ და თქუა:	and said:	1102
74	ღ(მერ)თო რ(ომელ)ი ჰზი ქერაბინთა ზ(ეღ)ა და	God, who sits above the cherubim and looks	Daniel 3:55
, ,	3ხედ (3) ვ უფსკრულთა	down to the abyss,	Duniel 3.33
75	რ(ომელ)ი-ეგე ზარ და ჰგიე ჭ(ე)შ(მა)რიტი	you who are and remain the true God,	Heirmologion
13	ლ(მერ)თი,	you who are and remain the true God,	(ms A-603)
76	შ(ე)ნ თავადმ(ა)ნ უწყნი გულის ზრახვანი	you yourself know the heart-thoughts of men,	(AUW); Lk 9:
, 0	კ(ა)ცთ(ა)ნი	Jour Jourson Know the neart-thoughts of fileff,	47; I Cor 3:20
77	ძ(ალ)ნი აჩუენენ სასწაულნი საკვრველნი მონისა	you showed power and miracles and wonders	17,1 001 3.20
, ,	შ(ენ)ისა მოსეს მიერ, აჩუენე ჩემზ(ეღ)აცა	through your servant Moses, show your mercy	
	" დესებინა ინიცნ ინენი, არუციც რეს ინედეაცა წყალობაჲ შ(ე)ნი,	through me also,	
78	და ყავ ჩემთანა სასწაულ კეთილ	and make a good miracle with me.	Ps 85:17
78 79	და ეავ ჩეითაბა სასტაულ კეთილ და დამამორჩილე ბოროტი ესე მჳ(ე)ცი ქუეშე	And make this evil beast submit to me beneath	
19	_ და დათათორილე ბოოოტი ესე ძვ(ე)ცი ქუეძე ფერჯთა ჩემთა,		I Corin 15:27, Sinai Mr-tavi
	- 37](730)3 17]00)3 ,	my feet,	
90	(m/sm) , (ph. f. (l/man) mm , a/am (m2n) m Pn 2m (f. 20.51)	that all will know that you areid!	173:18
80	რ(ათ)ა ცნან ყ(ოვე)ლთა, ვ(ითარმე)დ ჩემთანა ხარ! და მოიწია ვ(მა)ჲ ^{ხეცით} რ(ომელ)ი ეტყ(ო)და:	that all will know that you are with me!	
81	(0.0000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000) (0.000)	And there came a voice from above, saying:	
	გ(იორგ)ი შეისმინა ვედრებად (corr) შ(ე)ნი ყურთა	George, your plea has been heard by the ears	
	ო(კფლ)ისათა, ყავ რაჲცა გნებავს, რ(ამეთუ) მე	of the Lord, do what you wish, for I am with you!	
0.2	3/0/50,5,0,61	L VOID	
	შ(ე)ნთანა ვარ!		(C) TO 115
82	შ(ე)ნთანა ვარ! და მეყსეულად შეირყია ლერწმოვანი იგი	And suddenly the reed-bed shook,	(G) το υδωρ
	შ(ე)ნთანა ვარ! და მეყსეულად შეირყია ლერწმოვანი იგი	And suddenly the reed-bed shook,	(G) το υδωρ διεταραχθη
83	შ(ე)ნთანა ვარ! და მეყსეულად შეირყია ლერწმოვანი იგი და ვმა ყო ქალმ(ა)ნ მ(ა)ნ:	And suddenly the reed-bed shook, and the maiden cried out:	
	შ(ე)ნთანა ვარ! და მეყსეულად შეირყია ლერწმოვანი იგი და ჯმა ყო ქალმ(ა)ნ მ(ა)ნ: ვაჲმე ო(ვფალ)ო ჩ(ე)მო ივლტოდე ამიერ. აჰა ესერა	And suddenly the reed-bed shook, and the maiden cried out: Alas, my lord, flee from here, behold, the evil	
83 84	შ(ე)ნთანა ვარ! და მეყსეულად შეირყია ლერწმოვანი იგი და ვმა ყო ქალმ(ა)ნ მ(ა)ნ: ვაჲმე ო(ვფალ)ო ჩ(ე)მო ივლტოდე ამიერ. აჰა ესერა მოვალს ვეშაპი იგი ბოროტი!	And suddenly the reed-bed shook, and the maiden cried out: Alas, my lord, flee from here, behold, the evil dragon comes!	
83	შ(ე)ნთანა ვარ! და მეყსეულად შეირყია ლერწმოვანი იგი და ვმა ყო ქალმ(ა)ნ მ(ა)ნ: ვაჲმე ო(ვფალ)ო ჩ(ე)მო ივლტოდე ამიერ. აჰა ესერა მოვალს ვეშაპი იგი ბოროტი! ზ(ოლო) წ(მიდა)ჲ გ(იორგ)ი მირბიოდა შემთხუევად	And suddenly the reed-bed shook, and the maiden cried out: Alas, my lord, flee from here, behold, the evil	
83 84 85	შ(ე)ნთანა ვარ! და მეყსეულად შეირყია ლერწმოვანი იგი და ვმა ყო ქალმ(ა)ნ მ(ა)ნ: ვაჲმე ო(ვფალ)ო ჩ(ე)მო ივლტოდე ამიერ. აჰა ესერა მოვალს ვეშაპი იგი ბოროტი! b (ოლო) წ(მიდა)ჲ გ(იორგ)ი მირბიოდა შემთხუევად ვეშაპისა მის.	And suddenly the reed-bed shook, and the maiden cried out: Alas, my lord, flee from here, behold, the evil dragon comes! But saint George ran to confront the dragon,	
83 84	შ(ე)ნთანა ვარ! და მეყსეულად შეირყია ლერწმოვანი იგი და ვმა ყო ქალმ(ა)ნ მ(ა)ნ: ვაჲმე ო(ვფალ)ო ჩ(ე)მო ივლტოდე ამიერ. აჰა ესერა მოვალს ვეშაპი იგი ბოროტი! ზ(ოლო) წ(მიდა)ჲ გ(იორგ)ი მირბიოდა შემთხუევად	And suddenly the reed-bed shook, and the maiden cried out: Alas, my lord, flee from here, behold, the evil dragon comes!	

St George, princess & dragon (Tuite) — page 17 - 30 July 2020

	Georgian text Jer Geo 2 (11 th c.)	translation (KT)	parallels
87	ო(ჳფალ)ო, ღმერთო ჩემო,	Lord, my God,	
88	გარდააქციე მვეცი ესე მორჩილებად	make this beast obedient to me,	
89	მონისა შ(ე)ნისა!	your servant!	AUΞVW
90	და ვ(ითარც)ა ესე თქ(უ)ა, შეწევნითა სულისა	And as he said that, through the aid of the	T
	წ(მიღ)ისადთა და ლოცვითა წ(მიდი)სითა, დაეცა ვეშაპი იგი ფერ ვ თა თა ^{ხა} წ(მიღ)ისათა.	Holy Spirit and the prayer of the saint,	
91	დაეცა ვეშაპი იგი ფერჳთა თა" \(\frac{1}{3}\)(მიდ)ი სათა.	the dragon fell at the feet of the saint.	
92	ხ(ოლო) წ(მიდამა)ნ უბრძანა ქალსა მას: გ(ა)ნიჳსენ	Then the saint commanded the maiden:	G; Legenda
	სარტყელი შ(ე)ნი	Remove your belt,	aurea
93	და მომართუ აქა!	and hand it to me here!	
94	და ყო ეგრე.	And she did so.	
95	ხ(ოლო) წ(მიდამა)ნ შეკრა ვეშაპი იგი და მისცა	Then the saint tied up the dragon, and gave it	
0.6	ქალსა მას და ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა: წარვედ ქ(ა)ლ(ა)ქით კერძო!	to the maiden and said:	an (a)
96	წარვედ ქ(ა)ლ(ა)ქით კერძო!	Go toward the city!	CE (Q)
97	იზილა რაჲ ერმ⟨ა⟩ნ მ⟨ა⟩ნ სასწაული ესე საკჳრველი	When the people saw the wondrous miracle	
	შეეშინა	they became afraid,	
98	და ენება (corr) სივლტოლაჲ შიშისათჳს ვეშაპისა მის,	and wished to flee for fear of the dragon,	
99	ხ(ოლო) წმიდაჲ იგი ეტყოდა მ(ა)თ: ნუ გეშინინ	but the saint said to them: Fear not, rather	AΞGK; Exod
	ა(რამე) დ დეგით და იხილოთ მაცხოვარებაჲ ღ(მრთისა) a	stand and see God's deliverance.	14:13
100	ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა მ(ა)თ: გრწმენინ ო(ჳფალ)ი ჩ(უე)ნი	He said to them: Believe in my lord Jesus	Dan 14:26
	ი(ესო)ვ ქ(რისტ)ე ჭ(ე)შ(მა)რიტი ღ(მერთ)ი	Christ the all-powerful true God, and I will	1
	ყ(ოვ)ლად ძლიერი და მოვაკუდინო ვეშაპი ესე,	make the dragon die,	
101	და არა მოიკლნეთ მის მიერ.	and you will not be killed by it.	UW (AΞ)
102	მაშინ კმა ყო მეფემან და დიდებულთა მისთა და	Then the king cried out, along with his nobles	,
	ყ(ოვე)ლსა ერსათანა_და თქ(უე)ს: გურწამს	and all the people, saying: Lord, we believe in	
	ო(ვფალ)ო მამისა მიმ(ა)რთ და ძისა და სულისა	the Father, and the Son and the Holy Spirit.	
	\(\frac{1}{2}\cdot \cdot		
103	და მეყსეულად წ(მიდამა)ნ ივადა ვრმალი თვსი და	And immediately the saint drew his sword and	
	მოკლა იგი	killed it,	
104	და მისცა ქალი იგი მეფესა.	and gave the maiden to the king.	
105	მაშინ მოკრბა ყ(ოველ)ი სიმრავლე ერისაჲ და	Then the whole multitude of the people	
	ამბორს უყოფდეს ფერჳთა წ(მიდ)ისათა და	gathered and kissed the feet of the saint, and	
	ად(ი)დებდეს ღ(მერთს)ა:	praised God.	
106	მაშინ წ(მიდამა)ნ მოუწოდა ალექსანდრე	Then the saint summoned the bishop	ABUΞW
	ებისკოპოსსა, და ნათელსცა მეფესა და დიდებულთა	Alexander, who baptized the king and the	
	მისთა და ყ(ოვე)ლსა სიმრავლესა ერისასა	nobles and all the multitude of the people	
107	ათხუთმეტ დღეს,	during fifteen days,	KTUΞZ
108	ხ(ოლო) ნ(ათე)ლსცა ორმეოც და ხუთსა ათასსა.	and he baptized forty-five thousand.	LMTX
109	და იქმნა სიხარ(უ)ლი დიდი მას ქ(ა)ლ(ა)ქსა შ(ინ)ა.	And there was great rejoicing in the city.	AUEDTW; Acts 8:8
110	მაშინ მეფემ(ა)ნ ყ(ოვე)ლსა ერსათანა აღაშენა	Then the king along with all the people built a	
	პატიოსანი ტაძარი სადიდებელთა ღ(მერ)თსა და	holy temple for the glory of God and to honor	
	პატივად წ(მიდ)ისა გ(იორგ)ისა	saint George.	
111	და ვ(ითარც)ა განასრულეს ტაძარი იგი, მოვიდა	And when they completed the temple, saint	
	წ(მიდა)ჲ გ(იორგ)ი და აჩუენა სხ(უა)ჲ	George came and showed another wonder,	
	საკჳრველებაჲ:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
112	რ(ათა) შევიდა ტაძარსა მას შ(ინ)ა ^დ	when he went in the temple and the church	
	საკურთხეველსა ეკლესიისასა, და აღმოაცენა	sanctuary, and brought forth a healing spring,	
	წყაროჲ კურნებათაჲ		
113	და არს იგი ვ(იდრ)ე აქამომდე საკურნებელად მორწმუნეთა ქ(რისტ)ეს ღ(მრ)თისა ჩ(უე)ნისათა	which to the present is for healing believers in Christ our God.	
114	და სხუანი მრავალნი და დიდებ(უ)ლნი	And the holy martyr George performed many	
	საკვრველებანი აღასრულნა წ(მიდამა)ნ	other glorious wonders in the city, through	
	$\partial(m)$ $\Re(s)$ $\partial_0\partial(s)$ $\partial(s)$ ∂	God and the grace He bestowed,	
	მისდა მოცემულთა მადლითა მ(იე)რ ქალაქსა მას		
	შ(ინ)ა		
115	სახელით ღ(მრთ)ისა ჩ(უე)ნისა ი(ესო)ჳ	in the name of our God Jesus Christ.	
	ქ(რისტ)ესითა.		

${\bf 5.2.}\ The\ miracle\ of\ St\ George\ and\ the\ demon.$

	Georgian text Jer Geo 2 (11 th c.)	translation (KT)
116	და ამისა შ(ემდგომა)დ გამორაჲვიდა წ(მიდა)ჲ იგი ქ(ა)ლ(ა)ქისა მისგ(ა)ნ და აღვიდოდა თჳსაღ მამულად.	And after this, the saint came out from the city and was going up toward his homeland.
117	შეემთხვა მას ეშმაკი სახითა შეურაცხითა დამდაბლებულითა, და ჯელთა მისთა უპყრა კუერთხი და ზრახვიდა მშჳდობით.	He encountered a demon of negligeable, lowly appearance, who held a staff in his hands and spoke peacefully.
118	და ვ(ითარც)ა შეემთხვა წ(მიდას)ა გ(იორგ)ის ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა მას დაწყნარებულაღ: გ(იორგ)ი.	And as he (the demon) met Saint George, he said to him calmly: George.
119	ხ(ოლი) წ(მიდამა)ნ მიუგო მას, ვ(ითარმე)დ: ვ(ითა)რ მიწოდე მე სახელით რ(ომელ)ი არა უწყოდე, გარნა თუ ხარ შ(ე)ნ ეშმაკი ბოროტი?	But the saint answered him thus: How could you address me by a name which you did not know, unless you are an evil demon?
120	ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა მას ეშმაკმ(ა)ნ მ(ა)ნ უკ(უე)თუ ხარ შ(ე)ნ ანგელოზი ღ(მრ)თისა მიჩუენე მე ძალი შ(ე)ნი.	The demon said to him: If you are an angel of God, show me your power.
121	მაშინ მოწერა ჯ:(უარ)ითა გარემოჲს ეშმაკისა მის და შეაყენა იგი მას შ(ინ)ა, და ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა, სახელითა ო(ვფლ)ისა ჩ(უე)ნისა ი(ესო)ვ ქ(რისტე)სითა მოვედ და შემომიდეგ მე.	Then he inscribed (a circle) around the demon with (the sign of) the cross, and set him inside it, and said: In the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, come and stand by me.
122	და მეყსეულად	And immediately he cried out and said: Woe is me, George, because I met you.
123	ჰ(რ)ქ(უ)ა მას წ(მიდამა)ნ, მითხარ მე ვინ ხ(ა)რ შ(ე)ნ.	The saint said to him: Tell me who you are.
124	მაშინ იწყო ბოროტმ(ა)ნ მ(ა)ნ ეშმაკმ(ა)ნ და იტყოდა, მე ვარ პ(ირვე)ლისა სამაელის მეორე. მე, გ(იორგ)ი, ორმეოცთა ათასთა მფლობელი ვიყავ.	Then the evil demon began to speak: I am second after Samael (who is) first. I, George, was the master of forty thousand.
125	და ოდეს იგი ღ(მერთმა)ნ კ(ა)ცი დაჰბადა და ქ(უე)ყ(ა)ნ(ა)ჲ გ(ა)ნყო და დაჰბეჭდა, მუნ ვიყავ და მრწოლით ვხედავდი, რ(ა)ჟ(ამ)ს იგი შანთთა ელვისათა შეჰმუსრვიდა, მე ვიყავ შემკრებელ ღრუბელთა.	And when God created man, and separated the land and sealed it (stamped it with a seal), I was there and watched, trembling; when he smashed branding-irons of lightning, I was the one who gathered the clouds.
126	ჩემსა ხილვასა კაცობრივი ბ(უ)ნ(ე)ბ(ა)ჲ ვერ შემძლებელ იყო. მე ანგელოზთა გუნდნი მეშიშვოდეს და აწ ვინაჲთგ(ა)ნ გარდამოვითხიე ზეცით, დამთრგუნვენ მე ფერვნი მიწისანი.	Human nature could not withstand my sight, legions of angels feared me; and now that I have been thrown down from above, earthly feet trample me.
127	და ვაჲ არს ჩემდა, გ(იორგ)ი, რ(ამეთუ) შემშურდა შენდა მოცემული მადლი, და გ(ა)ნვიზრახე ცთუნებად შ(ე)ნდა გზასა ზ(ედ)ა, რა(ჲთა)მცა თაყუ(ა)ნის მეც მე, რ(ამეთუ) მრავალნი გ(ა)ნმიშორებიან ღ(მრ)თისადა.	And woe is me, George, that I envied the grace that was given to you, and intended to deceive you on the road, so that you would worship me, just as I have separated many from God.
128	აჰა ესერა ყ(ოველ)ი მიგითხარ შ(ე)ნ გ(იორგ)ი. მოივსენე ჰ(ირვე)ლი იგი ნეტარებაჲ ჩემი და მეორე ესე უბადრუკებაჲ ჩემი და ნუ მიბრძანებ მე წარსლვად სატანჯველად საუკუნოდ.	Behold, I told you everything, George. Remember how blessed I was at first, and after that, my wretchedness; and do not order me to go to eternal suffering.
129	მაშინ წ(მიდამა)ნ გ(იორგ)ი ილოცა და თქ(უ)ა, ო(ჳფალ)ო ღ(მერთ)ო ჩემო შემუსრე არაწ(მიდა)ჲ ეშმაკი რ(ომელმა)ნ არა ყო ნებ(ა)ჲ შ(ე)ნი და არცა დაიცუნა ბრძანებანი შ(ე)ნნი, ა(რამე)დ ეგო თვისსავე ზ(ეღ)ა უკეთურებასა და არა მოაქცია შ(ე)ნდა მომართ მხოლოჲსა ჭ(ე)შ(მა)რ(ი)ტისა ღ(მრ)თისა.	Then St. George prayed and said: Lord my God, destroy the unholy demon who did not do what you willed, nor respect your commands, but rather took wickedness upon himself, and did not turn toward you, the only true God.
130	და შთააგღე იგი ადგილსა წყუდიაღსა რ(აჲთ)ა იტანჯებოდის და ხატსა მას შ(ე)ნსა რ(ომე)ლი შ(ე)ნ დაჰბადე, არა გ(ა)ნსცდიღეს.	And throw him down into the dark place, so that he will suffer, and not tempt the image of yourself that you created.
131	და იყო მუნ კლღე ფ(რია)დ დიდი და გამოსახა მას ზ(ედ)ა დიღ(ებულ)მ(ა)ნ გ(იორგ)ი სასწაული ჯ(უარისა)ჲ და ესრეთ თქ(უ)ა, სახელითა ო(ჳფლ)ისა ჩ(უე)ნისა ი(ესო)ჳ ქ(რისტე)სითა გ(ა)ნეღენ კლღე ესე.	And there was at that place a very large rock, and the glorious George made the sign of the cross over it, and said: In the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, may this rock open.
132	და მეყსეულად განელო კლდე იგი. მაშინ უპყრა ეშმაკსა მას და შთააგდო იგი მთხრებლსა ცეცხლის(ა)სა. და კ(უა)ლ(ა)დ უბრძანა კლდესა მას რ(აჲთ)ა იქმნას ვ(ითარც)ა იყო პ(ირვე)ლითგ(ა)ნ.	And immediately the rock opened. Then he seized the demon and threw him into the hole of fire. And once again he ordered the rock to become as it was at first.
133	და არს იგი სატანჯველსა მას შ(ინ)ა ცეცხლისასა და იტანჯვის ვ(იდრ)ე უკ(უნამდ)ე.	And he is in the fiery place of suffering, and he will suffer for eternity.
134	ესე სასწაულნი შუენიერნი დიდებულნი და საკვრველნი ადასრულნა ფ(რი)ად ს(ა)ნ(ა)ტრ(ელ)მ(ა)ნ გ(იორგ)ი მადლისა მის მ(იე)რ მისდა მონიჭებულსა ქ(რისტ)ეს ი(ესო)ვს მ(იე)რ ო(ვფლ)ისა ჩ(უე)ნისა რ(ომლ)ისაჲ არს დ(იღე)ბ(ა)ჲ აწ და მ(არა)დის და უკ(უ)ნითი უკ(უნამღ)ე, ა(მი)ნ.	The very beloved George performed these beautiful, glorious and wondrous miracles through the grace granted to him by Christ Jesus our Lord, to whom is glory now and always, for ever and ever, Amen.

AKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper began as a presentation at the conference "Sharing Myths, Texts and Sanctuaries in the South Caucasus", organized by the Centre for Advanced Studies 'Beyond Canon' at the University of Regensburg in February 2020. I would like to thank Igor Dorfmann-Lazarev and Tobias Nicklas for giving me the opportunity to participate, and to all those who provided comments and feedback on that occasion. Special thanks go to Nestan Chkhikvadze (Kekelidze Institute of Manuscripts, Tbilisi), Jost Gippert (Frankfurt), Renaud Gagné (Cambridge), Steve Rapp (Huntsville), Pierre Bonnechère (Montréal), Winfried Boeder (Oldenburg) and Nicolas Preud'homme (Paris) for help solving puzzles in Georgian and Greek manuscripts, astute comments, and access to sources. In addition to the images publicly available on line, digital copies of Greek manuscripts were generously provided by the Department of Manuscripts and Facsimiles of the National Library of Greece (manuscripts Athens 278, 346, 363 and 838); the Biblioteca Regional Universitaire Giacomo Longo di Messina (S. Salv. Gr 29); and the Biblioteca Nazionale Marciana in Venice (manuscripts Gr II 42, Gr II 160, Gr VII 38). Shortly after completing this draft, I learned the sad news that Michael Silverstein, with whom I studied at the University of Chicago, passed away. The mark he has left on the fields of anthropology and linguistics will doubtless be the subject of much discussion and reminiscence in the coming days; I cannot begin to adequately assess the influence he has had on me. I dedicate this paper to his memory, and conclude with a final wish in Georgian, a language about which Michael knew a surprising amount: ნათელში იყოს მისი სული!

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Armstrong, Pamela. 2019. Ethnicity and Inclusiveness in the Development of Religious Cults: Saint Christopher the Dog-Headed and Saint George. K. Durak & I. Jevtić, eds. *Identity and the other in Byzantium*. Koc University Stavros Niarchos Foundation Center for Antique and Byzantine Studies, 71-82 Assfalg, Julius 1984. Georgien. *Theologische Realenzyklopädie* XII: 389–393.

Atanasov, Giorgi. 2001. Sveti Georgi Pobedonosec. Kult i obraz v pravoslavnija iztok prez srednovekovieto. Sofia: Zograf.

Aufhauser, Joh. B. 1911. Das Drachenwunder des Heiligen Georg. Leipzig: Teubner.

Blake, Robert P. 1923. Catalogue des manuscrits géorgiens. Revue de l'orient chrétien 23: 1-157.

Boeder, Winfried. 1968. Über die Versionen des georgischen Verbs. Folia Linguistica 2. 82-152.

Brosset, M. F. 1842. *Description géographique de la Géorgie, par le tsarévitch Wakhoucht. Publiée d'après l'original autographe par M. Brosset*. St. Petersburg.

Buxrashvili, P'aat'a. 2014. C'minda giorgi, didebis mxedari. Amirani XXV.

Cagareli, A. 1888. Pamjatniki gruzinskoj stariny v Svjatoj Zemle in a Sinae. *Pravoslavnyj Palestinskij Sbornik* 10. St Petersburg.

Delehaye, H. 1904. Catalogus codicum hagiographorum graecorum Monasterii S. Salvatoris nunc Bibliothecae Universitatis Messanensis. <u>Analecta Bollandiana</u> 23: 19-75.

Delehaye, H. 1909. Les legendes grecques des Saints Militaires. Paris

Detlefsen, D. 1858. Über eine griecheischen Palmpsest mit Bruchstücken einer Legende vom heil. Georg. *Sitzungsberichte der phil-hist. Classe der kaiserl. Akademie der Wissenschaften* 27: 383-404. Wien Der Nersessian, Sirarpie. 1974. *Aghtamar*. Milano: Ares.

Eastmond, Antony. 1998. *Royal imagery in medieval Georgia.* University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press

Fontenrose, Joseph. 1980. *Python: A study of Delphic myth and its origins*. University of California Press. Gabidzashvili, Enrik'o. 1991. *c'minda giorgi dzvel kartul mc'erlobaši*. Tbilisi: Mecniereba.

Gabidzashvili, Enrik'o. 2004. kartuli natargmni hagiograpia. Tbilisi: Mecniereba.

Gagné, Renaud. 2013. Ancestral fault in ancient Greece. Cambridge University Press.

Garitte, Gérard. 1958. *Le calendrier palestino-géorgien du Sinaiticus 34 (Xe siècle).* Bruxelles: Société des Bollandistes.

Garsoïan, Nina. 1999. Church and culture in early medieval Armenia. Aldershot: Ashgate

Gippert, Jost. 1993. *Iranica Armeno-Iberica. Studien zu den iranischen Lehnwörtern im Armenischen und Georgischen.* Wien: Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften 1993

Halkin, F. 1957. Bibliotheca Hagiographica Graeca (BHG), 3 vols. Société des Bollandistes.

Haubrichs, Wolfgang. 1980. Georgslied und Georgslegende im frühen Mittelalter. Text und Rekonstruktion. Königstein

St George, princess & dragon (Tuite) — page 20 — 30 July 2020

Haubrichs, W. 1984. Georg, Heiliger. Theologische Realenzyklopädie XII: 380-385.

Iamanidze, Nina. 2014. The Dragon-Slayer Horseman from its Origins to the Seljuks: Missing Georgian Archaeological Evidence. *Double Headed Eagle - Byzantium and the Seljuks between the late 11th and 13th Centuries in Anatolia* (N. Asutay-Effenberger/F. Daim éds.), Verlag des Römisch-Germanischen Zentralmuseums, Mainz, p.111-127.

Iamanidze, Nina. 2016. *Saints cavaliers. Culte et images en Géorgie aux IVe-XIe siècles.* Wiesbaden: Reichert. K'ek'elidze, K'orneli. 1912. *Ierusalimskij kanonar' VII veka.* Tiflis: Losaberidze.

K'ek'elidze, K'orneli. 1948. godebis žanri da glovis c'esi dzvels kartuls lit'erat'uraši. *TSU Šromebi* 33: 73-106. K'ek'elidze, K'orneli. 1960. *Et'iudebi dzveli kartuli lit'erat'uris ist'oriidan* VI. Tbilisi.

Khintibidze, Elguja. 2002. A New Theory on the Etymology of the Designations of the Georgians. *Philologie, Typologie und Sprachstruktur: Festschrift für Winfried Boeder zum 65. Geburtstag,* Wolfram Bublitz, Manfred von Roncador, Heinz Vater (editors). Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang Verlag.

K'ik'nadze, Gulnaz. 1982. Nevmirebuli dzlisp'irni (xelnac'eri A-603). Tbilisi: Mecniereba.

K'ldiašvili, Darejan. 1989. L'icône de Saint Georges du Mont Sinaï avec le portrait de Davit Aymašenebeli. Revue des Études Géorgiennes et Caucasiennes 5: 107-128.

Krumbacher, Karl. 1911. Der heilige Georg in der griechische Überlieferung. München: Franz.

Kuehn, Sara. 2011. The Dragon in Medieval East Christian and Islamic Art. Leiden: Brill.

Kuehn, Sara. 2014. The Dragon Fighter: The Influence of Zoroastrian Ideas on Judaeo-Christian and Islamic Iconography. *Zoroastrianism in the Levant*, ARAM Society for Syro-Mesopotamian Studies 26. 1/2, 65–101.

Kulik, Alexander. 2010. 3 Baruch: Greek-Slavonic Apocalypse of Baruch. Berlin: De Gruyter.

Lang, David M. 1976. Lives and legends of the Georgian saints. New York: Crestwood

Lazarev, V. N. 1953. Новый памятник станковой живописи XII в. и образ Георгия-воина в византийском и древнерусском искусстве. <u>Vizantijskij Vremennik</u> VI: 186-222.

Lomidze, Ek'a. 2011. alaverdši damarxuli udzvelesi ist'oria. <u>K'viris p'alit'ra,</u> 17.01.2011.

Marr, N. Ja. 1911. *Žitie Sv. Grigorija Xandztijskago*. <u>Teksty i Razyskanija po Armjano-Gruzinskoj Filolgii</u> VII. Skt-Peterburg: Imper. Akademija Nauk.

Menabde, Levan. 1980. *dzveli kartuli mc'erlobis k'erebi, 2: dzveli kartuli mc'erlobis k'erebi sazyvargaret* (Centers of ancient Georgian literary activity abroad). Tbilisi: TSU gamomcemloba.

Morgan, Giles. 2006. St George. Harpenden UK: Pocket Essentials.

Ogden, Daniel. 2013. *Drakōn: Dragon Myth and Serpent Cult in the Greek and Roman Worlds.* Oxford University Press.

Okunev, N. 1927. Stolpy Sv. Georgija. Razvaliny xrama XII veka okolo Novago Bazara. *Seminarium Kondakovium, Recueil d'études* I: 225-246. Prague.

Peeters, P. 1909. Une Passion Arménienne de S Georges. Analecta bollandiana 28: 249-271.

Privalova, E. L. 1977. Pavnisi. Tbilisi: Mecniereba.

Q'ubaneišvili, Sol. 1946. dzveli kartuli lit'erat'uris krest'omatia I. Tbilisi: TSU gamomcemloba

Rapp, Stephen H., Jr. 2007. Georgian Christianity. *The Blackwell Companion to Eastern Christianity*, edited by Ken Parry, 137-155. Oxford, UK: Blackwell.

Riches, Samantha. 2000. St George. Hero, martyr and myth. Stroud, UK: Sutton Publishing.

Riches, Samantha. 2002. St George as a male virgin martyr. *Gender and Holiness: Men, Women, and Saints in Late Medieval Europe*, edited by Samantha J. E. Riches and Sarah Salih, 65-85. Routledge.

Rystenko, A. V. 1909. *Legenda o Sv. Georgij i Drakon v vizantijskoj i slavjanorusskoj literaturax.* Odessa: Imper. Novorossijskoj Universitet

Sabinin, Gobron. 1882. sakartvelos samotxe: sruli ayc'eray ywac'lta da vnebata sakartwēlos c'midata. St. Peterburg: Imper. Akad. Nauk.

Sarjveladze, Zurab. *kartuli salit'erat'uro enis ist'oriis šesavali* (An introduction to the history of the Georgian literary language). Tbilisi: Ganatleba.

Ševčenko, Nancy. 1994. The Representation of Donors and Holy Figures on four Byzantine Icons. Athens: Δελτίον ΧΑΕ 17: 157-166.

Shanidze, Ak'ak'i. 1920 [1957]. subiekt'uri p'repiksi meore p'irisa da obiekt'uri p'repiksi mesame p'irisa kartul zmnebši (The 2nd person subject prefix and the 3rd person object prefix in Georgian verbs), reprinted in *kartuli enis st'rukt'urisa da ist'oriis sak'itxebi, I* (Issues in the structure and history of the Georgian language, I), pp. 111-263. Tbilisi: TSUG.

Taq'aishvili, Ekvtime. 1937. *Arkeologiuri eksp'edicia lečxum-svanetši 1910 c'els*. (Expédition archéologique en Letchkhoum et en Svanéthie). Paris.

Tchekhanovets, Yana. 2012. Gruzinskaja cerkov' na Svjatoj Zemle. Moskva: Indrik.

St George, princess & dragon (Tuite) — page 21 — 30 July 2020

Tchekhanovets, Yana. 2018. The Caucasian Archaeology of the Holy Land. Armenian, Georgian and Albanian communities between the fourth and eleventh centuries. Brill.

Thierry, Nicole. 1985. Essai de definition d'un atelier de sculpture du Haut Moyen-âge en Gogarène. *Revue des Études Géorgiennes et Caucasiennes* 1: 169-223.

Thierry, Nicole. 1991. Le culte du cerf en Anatolie et la vision de Saint-Eustathe. <u>Monuments et mémoires de la Fondation Eugène Piot</u> 72: 33-100.

Tuite, K. 2004. Early Georgian. *Cambridge Encyclopedia of the World's Ancient Languages*, Roger D. Woodard (editor). Cambridge University Press; 967-987

Tuite, K. 2020. On the origin of Kartvelian "version". (ms, Université de Montréal)

Veselovskij, A. N. 1880. *Razyskanija v oblasti russkix duxovnyx stixov, II. Sv. Georgij v legende, pesne i obrjade.* St. Peterburg: Imper. Akad. Nauk.

Vogt, Hans. 1947 Le système des cas en géorgien ancien. Norsk tidsskrift for sprogvidenskap 14: 98-140.

Volsk'aia, Aneli. 1969. K'edlis mxat'vroba sop. adišis c'm. giorgis ek'lesiaši (Wall paintings in the St George Church of Adishi). <u>Dzeglis megobari</u> 19: 53-58.

Walter, Christopher. 1995. The Origins of the Cult of Saint George. <u>Revue des études byzantines</u> 53: 295-326 Walter, Christopher. 2003. *The Warrior Saints in Byzantine Art and Tradition*. Oxford.

Weitzmann, Kurt. 1976. *The Monastery of Saint Catherine at Mount Sinaï, The Icons. I. From the Sixth to the Tenth Century.* Princeton University Press.

White, Monica. 2008. The rise of the dragon in middle Byzantine hagiography. <u>Byzantine and Modern Greek Studies</u> 32:2: 149-167

Xusk'ivadze, L. Z. 1981. Gruzinskie èmali. Tbilisi: Mecniereba