How to Compare When Data Come from Diverse Sources: A 4 - Level Model of Change in Institutional Trust over Time

By Claire Durand, Luis Patricio Peña Ibarra & Nadia Rezgui

XIX ISA World Congress of Sociology, Toronto, Canada, July 15-21, 2018



Outline

The problem: How to compare and keep the maximum information available

- Various data sets and measures
- Various political/electoral/economic situations
- The solution: Work with levels of analysis
- A multilevel approach and its problems
- Concretely, how does it work?
- Concretely, an example
- Discussion and conclusion



A different approach: Once the data have been collected, how to use it and keep as much information as possible?

- Since we are working with data that have been collected,
 - Similar concepts are often measured but different questions are used to measure them.
- We conceptualize the different measures as samples of all the measures of a similar concept that can be used.
- Therefore, we have samples at different levels, i.e., the levels of measures, of respondents, of countries and years.

Why use a multilevel approach?

- We can assess the different effects at the level where they operate, within individuals, at the individual level, over time and at the country level
- We do not have to deal with missing values and keep only the cases where the same information is available for all the cases, years, or countries.
- We can model cross-level effects,
 - Like the possible effect of the countries' average GDP on trust in the government.
 - Or the impact of age-group or of time on trust in religion.

A 4-level longitudinal model with repeated measures



The Data

The files used in this presentation

The Barometers:

- Latino Barometro (1995-2016)
- East Asia Barometer (2001-2012), Asian Barometer (2003-2007)
- Arab Barometer (2008-2014)
- Africa Barometer (2001-2015)
- LAPOP (2004-2016)
- World Values surveys (WVS)
 - Surveys from 1995 to 2014 for Africa and West Asia.

At level 4: country or country-source?

- There are more than one survey conducted during the same year in a given country by different survey projects,
 - Which means that we need to be able to test whether, on average, there is a difference according to the source of data.
 - Solution: The highest level is a "country-source" level. For example, in 2013, the Arab Barometer and the WVS both conducted a survey in Algeria. Country-source codes are 120 & 122, depending on the source of data.

Which allows for:

 Adding a variable identifying the source of data in order to control for the different methodological features -- answer scale, question wording, etc. -- of the different projects.

At level 3: country-source-year = survey level

- The source of data is controlled at the country level.
- Multiple surveys are conducted over time in each country. The time level is intermediary, i.e., both
 - nested within country-source and
 - having respondents nested within each survey.
- Consequence: Add a variable identifying the year when the survey was conducted and, as a longer period of data becomes available, variables for a quadratic (or even cubic) effect of time.
- At this level, we can add methodological characteristics of specific surveys (within projects).

At level 2, the respondents' level: ex-post harmonization issues

- The respondent level is where most harmonization problems occur. The only non problematic variable – for now – is sex.
- Some projects/countries ask age in years, others in categories. Categories may not be the same in different projects.
 - Solution: The only common denominator: young (15-29), middle (30-59), old (60+)
- Level of education: the educational systems vary. May be difficult to place technical training. 3% not asked.
 - Harmonize in 5 categories:
 - No formal education, primary, secondary, technical, university.

At level 2, the respondents' level: harmonization issues
The major problem is not harmonization but the fact that some questions were not asked in some surveys.

Occupation: 23% not asked

Common categories: employed, out of work, homemaker, retired, student.

Subjective Income: (36% not asked)

Four categories from "sufficient, can save" to "not sufficient, have big problems"

Attitudes as independent variables.

- Satisfaction with democracy: 37% not asked
- Support for democracy: 22% not asked

At level 2, the respondents' level: methodological information

- We may ask whether the proportion of questions answered by a respondent, i.e., item non-response, is related to the level of trust.
- In order to control for item non-response, we recuperate the number of questions asked to a respondent (at the survey level) and the number of questions answered.



At level 1, the measurement level

- Measures asked in a survey are samples of all the measures that can be asked to measure a concept.
- The main concept for this study is institutional trust but we could use the method for other concepts like attitude towards the protection of environment, etc.
- There are 110 institutions to date if we consider each different institution for which trust is asked.
 - How to decide which institutions are similar enough to be grouped together and which ones should be kept separate?



At level 1, the measurement level

- First problem: The measures have to be on the same scale, which means
 - First, recode all scales so that the highest number corresponds to higher trust.
 - ► Second,
 - The current situation: Expanding the scale: from 1 to 4 (Barometers & WVS) to 1,3,5,7 (LAPOP).
 - To be solved: In new surveys, we have scales of 0 to 10, or 1 to 10 or scales of only 2 or 3 anchors.

The second problem: Different question wordings:

Trust vs Confidence: In our case, not a problem because most if not all the surveys are not conducted in English. In other languages, there is only one word for trust.

At level 1, the measurement level

- The third problem: The institution on which the trust question is asked.
 - Example1: Four institutions related to elections: Elections, National Election Commission, Results of the next election and Secret Vote.
 - Example 2: Can we group together European Union, UN, International Monetary Fund, World Bank, World Trade Organization, UN Development Program in a category "International Organizations"?
- Solution: Check whether the institutions have similar averages and Std. Deviations in each region and for each survey project before grouping.
 - But some specificities: The "Russian" question, i.e., the state-governement vs the president.

Concretely, how does it work: Synthesis of the process



Concretely, how does it work?

Step 1: Combining data

- A Main database combines the data from all the survey projects at the individual level, including
 - Id for the country, year and source of data,
 - Harmonized indicators of socio-demographics,
 - Harmonized indicators of general attitudes of interest (perception of democracy, participation in political activities, etc.), if available in enough surveys.
 - One variable for each question on trust in an institution that has been asked in the survey.
 - If institution already surveyed in a prior survey, use the same name for the variable.
 - If not, introduce a new variable.

1st step: merging & harmonizing: The main file

iament Baromete r 2 2 2 2	♣ Year 2005 2005	Coun try	id_Bctry		^{\$} ID_resp	Armed_fo	💰 Banks	 Broadcas 	Broadcas	Presdess			ir.		Visi	ible : 210 varial	bles
Baromete r 2 2 2	• Year	try	id_Bctry	🛷 id_ctryy 💰	PID_resp	Armed_fo	🎳 Banks	 Broadcas 	Broadcas	Broadcas			1	1			-
2 2 2	2005		and the second s			rces		ting_Gvt	ting_Inde	ting_Nat	K Church	Civil_servi ce	Civil_soc_ instit	Congress_pa rliament	Const_court	Corrup_c omm	-
2	2005	4	40	402005	1	3					7						-
2	2005	4	40	402005	2	5					5			5			
-	2005	4	40	402005	3	3								7			
2	2005	4	40	402005	4	5											
2	2005	4	40	402005	5	3					5						
2	2005	4	40	402005	6 7	3					1	-		1			
2	2005	4	40	402005	8	5					5			5	•		
2	2005	4	40	402005	9	5					5			5			-
2	2005	4	40	402005	10	5					7			7			-
2	2005	4	40	402005	11	7					7						-
2	2005	4	40	402005	12	7					7			3			
2	2005	4	40	402005	13	7					5			3			
2	2005	4	40	402005	14	7					5			5			
2	2005	4	40	402005	15	7					5			3			
2	2005	4	40	402005	16	7					7			3			
2	2005	4	40	402005	17	3	-				3			3		-	-
2	2005	4	40	402005	18	3					7						
2	2005	4	40	402005	19	3					5			5			
2	2005	4	40	402005	20	7					7			5			
2	2005	4	40	402005	21	5					5			5			
2	2005	4	40	402005	22	7	-		-		5	-	-	7			
2	2005	4	40	402005	23	5	•				5	-		5			•
2	2005	4	40	402005	24	1					5			1			
2	2005	4	40	402005	25	5					5			5			•
2	2005	4	40	402005	- 20	/		-	-		5	-	-	1		-	
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2005 2 2005	2 2005 4 2 2005 4	2 2005 4 40 2 2005 4<	2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4 40 402005 2 2005 4	2 2005 4 40 402005 6 2 2005 4 40 402005 7 2 2005 4 40 402005 8 2 2005 4 40 402005 9 2 2005 4 40 402005 9 2 2005 4 40 402005 10 2 2005 4 40 402005 11 2 2005 4 40 402005 12 2 2005 4 40 402005 13 2 2005 4 40 402005 14 2 2005 4 40 402005 15 2 2005 4 40 402005 16 2 2005 4 40 402005 19 2 2005 4 40 402005 21 2 2005 <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>2 2005 4 40 40205 6 3 2 2005 4 40 40205 8 5 .</td> <td>2 2005 4 40 402005 6 3 <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>2 2005 4 40 402005 6 3 .</td><td>2 2005 4 40 402005 7 3 .</td><td>2 2006 4 40 402005 6 3 . . . 7 .</td><td>2 2005 4 40 402005 77 3 . <td< td=""></td<></td></td>	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2 2005 4 40 40205 6 3 2 2005 4 40 40205 8 5 .	2 2005 4 40 402005 6 3 <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>2 2005 4 40 402005 6 3 .</td> <td>2 2005 4 40 402005 7 3 .</td> <td>2 2006 4 40 402005 6 3 . . . 7 .</td> <td>2 2005 4 40 402005 77 3 . <td< td=""></td<></td>	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2 2005 4 40 402005 6 3 .	2 2005 4 40 402005 7 3 .	2 2006 4 40 402005 6 3 . . . 7 .	2 2005 4 40 402005 77 3 . <td< td=""></td<>

Concretely, how does it work

Step 2: Build the level 1 file

- Restructure the file so that there is one line per question on trust in an institution asked to a given respondent and a variable identifying the institution:
 - A line has five variables
 - 1. Country-source identifier
 - 2. Country-year-source identifier
 - 3. Respondent identifier
 - -4. Institution identifier
 - 5. Answer on a trust question
 - Recode the institutions into larger categories. And create dummy variables for each recoded institution.
 - Create a variable that indicates item non-response and send it back to the level 2 file.

Second step: the level 1 file

🔚 *FUSION_LAAL_fev_2018_niv1.sav [Jeu_de_données7] - IBM SPSS Statistics Editeur de données

_ ×



1: id Bctry	40												Visible	e : 25 variables sur 2
T.Id_Douly	A id Bata	A id ataat		A Country	- Voor	Paramata	2	R index1	2 Truct	2 institution	A in at DEC	2 CVI		
	✓ Id_bctry	✓ Id_ctryy	✓ ID_resp	✓ Country	eo rear	aromete r	Sound	ce Ga index i	Inust	Institution		a GVI	eteci	POLPART
1	40	402005	1	4	2005	2		0 Government	7	35	11	1	0	0
2	40	402005	1	4	2005	2		0 Local_government	5	43	11	1	0	0
3	40	402005	1	4	2005	2		0 UN	5	100	15	0	0	0
4	40	402005	1	4	2005	2		0 World_Bank	5	106	15	0	0	0
5	40	402005	1	4	2005	2		0 World_Trade_Org	5	107	15	0	0	0
6	40	402005	1	4	2005	2		0 Armed_forces	3	5	21	0	0	0
7	40	402005	1	4	2005	2		0 Police	3	67	22	0	0	0
8	40	402005	1	4	2005	2		0 Pub_Educ_Syst	7	77	24	0	0	0
9	40	402005	1	4	2005	2		0 Pub_Health_Syst	5	78	24	0	0	0
10	40	402005	1	4	2005	2		0 Judiciary	3	41	25	0	0	0
11	40	402005	1	4	2005	2		0 Medias	5	48	31	0	0	0
12	40	402005	1	4	2005	2		0 Church	7	15	32	0	0	0
13	40	402005	1	4	2005	2		0 Trade_unions	3	98	33	0	0	0
14	40	402005	1	4	2005	2		0 ONG	5	63	34	0	0	0
15	40	402005	1	4	2005	2		0 Large_Domestic_Cies	3	42	52	0	0	0
16	40	402005	2	4	2005	2		0 Congress_parliament	5	23	11	1	0	0
17	40	402005	2	4	2005	2		0 Government	7	35	11	1	0	0
18	40	402005	2	4	2005	2		0 Local_government	3	43	11	1	0	0
19	40	402005	2	4	2005	2		0 Politic_parties	5	70	14	0	0	1
20	40	402005	2	4	2005	2		0 UN	3	100	15	0	0	0
21	40	402005	2	4	2005	2		0 World_Bank	5	106	15	0	0	0
22	40	402005	2	4	2005	2		0 World_Trade_Org	3	107	15	0	0	0
23	40	402005	2	4	2005	2		0 Armed_forces	5	5	21	0	0	0
24	40	402005	2	4	2005	2		0 Police	3	67	22	0	0	0
25	40	402005	2	4	2005	2		0 Pub_Educ_Syst	7	77	24	0	0	0
26	40	402005	2	4	2005	2		0 Pub_Health_Syst	5	78	24	0	0	0
27	40	402005	2	4	2005	2		0.ludiciary	5	41	25	0	0	

Vue de données Vue des variables

-O Taper ici pour rechercher U 🗇 🚍 🤮 🤌 💭 숙 🍳

🕘 🛱

Le processeur IBM SPSS Statistics est prêt Unicode:ON

へ 🐯 🦀 🖆 📮 功) 📟

14:12 FRA Ę

CAFR 2018-07-17

Concretely, how does it work?

Step 3: Create the level 3 file (country-year-source)

- It is necessary with HLM, the only software available now for 4-level models.
- Aggregate the level 1 file at the country-yearsource (i.e., the survey) level:
 - Compute Time centered, Time centered at power 2.
 - Introduce variables related to survey methodology (nb questions asked on trust, for example)



The level 3 file: Country_source_year = survey

					1									
ame	Afghani	stan	•									-	Visible : 38 va	ariables
	id_Bctry	V id_ctryy	💞 yearC	of yearC2	country	da cname	✓ year	source	V trust_mean	N_BREAK	💑 region	region2	💑 year2	of ch
1	40	402005	-1	1		4 Afghanistan	2005	0	4,90	15468	1	1,00	11	
2	120	1202008	2	4	1	12 Algeria	2008	0	3,15	4783	3	3,00	14	
3	120	1202010	4	16	1	12 Algeria	2010	0	3,26	8193	3	3,00	16	
4	120	1202013	7	49	1	12 Algeria	2013	0	4,50	8129	3	3,00	19	
5	120	1202015	9	81	1	12 Algeria	2015	0	4,24	1114/	3	3,00	21	
6	122	1222002	-4	16	1	12 Algeria	2002	2	4,66	20610	3	3,00	8	
1	122	1222013	1	49	1	12 Algeria	2013	2	4,50	18515	3	3,00	19	
8	281	2812016	10	100	2	28 Antigua	2016	1	4,12	3946	4	4,00	22	
9	320	3201995	-11	121	3	32 Argentina	1995	0	3,46	13572	4	4,00	1	
0	320	3201996	-10	100	3	32 Argentina	1996	0	2,98	12729	4	4,00	2	
1	320	3201997	-9	81	3	32 Argentina	1997	0	3,22	9119	4	4,00	3	
2	320	3201998	-8	64	3	32 Argentina	1998	0	2,94	9389	4	4,00	4	
3	320	3202000	-6	36	3	32 Argentina	2000	0	3,29	9178	4	4,00	6	
14	320	3202001	-5	25	3	32 Argentina	2001	0	3,17	15299	4	4,00	7	
5	320	3202002	-4	16	3	32 Argentina	2002	0	2,41	13016	4	4,00	8	
6	320	3202003	-3	9	3	32 Argentina	2003	0	2,88	17310	4	4,00	9	
7	320	3202004	-2	4	3	32 Argentina	2004	0	3,11	17426	4	4,00	10	
8	320	3202005	-1	1	3	32 Argentina	2005	0	3,35	13914	4	4,00	11	
9	320	3202006	0	0	3	32 Argentina	2006	0	3,51	11823	4	4,00	12	
0	320	3202007	1	1	3	32 Argentina	2007	0	3,42	15276	4	4,00	13	
.1	320	3202008	2	4	3	32 Argentina	2008	0	3,26	17710	4	4,00	14	
2	320	3202009	3	9	3	32 Argentina	2009	0	3,31	17589	4	4,00	15	
23	320	3202010	4	16	3	32 Argentina	2010	0	3,48	19980	4	4,00	16	
24	320	3202011	5	25	3	32 Argentina	2011	0	3,54	17713	4	4,00	17	
25	320	3202013	7	49	3	32 Argentina	2013	0	3,57	16569	4	4,00	19	
26	320	3202015	9	81	3	32 Argentina	2015	0	3,46	18813	4	4,00	21	
27	320	3202016	10	100	3	32 Argentina	2016	0	3,44	13186	4	4,00	22	
*0	1 201	2040000	2		2	0	2000	4	2.40	40007		4.00	4.4	

Concretely, how does it work?

Step 4: Create the level 4 file

- Create the level 4 file (necessary with HLM), the only software available now for 4-level models.
- Aggregate at the Country-source level:
 - Polydichotomize the variable indicating the source of data in order to have one variable per source of data.
 - Compute a variable for region and polydichotomize to have one variable per region.



The level 4 file: Country-source level

iname	Antigua											Visible : 46 varia	ables su
	st ccode	id_Bctry	🖓 cname	🗞 Asia	💑 Africa	💑 Wana	💑 SthCtrlA	💑 Other	🗞 lapop	💑 WVS	🛷 trust_mean	💑 source	🗞 r
1	4	40 Afg	ghanistan	1	0	0	0	,00	,00	,00	4,90	0	
2	12	120 Alg	geria	0	0	1	0	,00	,00	,00,	3,79	0	
3	12	122 Alg	geria	0	0	1	0	,00	,00	1,00	4,58	2	
4	28	281 An	ntigua	0	0	0	1	,00	1,00	,00,	4,12	1	
5	32	320 Ar	gentina	0	0	0	1	,00	,00	,00,	3,25	0	
6	32	321 Ar	gentina	0	0	0	1	,00	1,00	,00,	3,64	1	
7	44	441 Ba	ahamas	0	0	0	1	,00	1,00	,00	4,49	1	
8	48	480 Ba	ahrain	0	0	1	0	,00	,00	,00,	3,90	0	
9	50	500 Ba	angladesh	1	0	0	0	,00	,00	,00,	4,78	0	
10	52	521 Ba	arbados	0	0	0	1	,00	1,00	,00,	4,09	1	
11	64	640 Bh	nutan	1	0	0	0	,00	,00	,00,	5,29	0	
12	68	680 Bo	olivia	0	0	0	1	,00	,00	,00,	3,41	0	
13	68	681 Bo	blivia	0	0	0	1	,00	1,00	,00,	3,76	1	
14	72	720 Bo	otswana	0	1	0	0	,00	,00	,00	4,50	0	
15	76	760 Bra	azil	0	0	0	1	,00	,00	,00	3,68	0	
16	76	761 Bra	azil	0	0	0	1	,00	1,00	,00,	3,92	1	
17	84	841 Be	elize	0	0	0	1	,00	1,00	,00	4,10	1	
18	96	960 Br	unei	1	0	0	0	,00,	,00	,00,	5,81	0	
19	104	1040 My	yanmar	1	0	0	0	,00,	,00	,00,	4,85	0	
20	108	1080 Bu	ırundi	0	1	0	0	,00	,00	,00,	4,88	0	
21	116	1160 Ca	ambodia	1	0	0	0	,00	,00	,00,	4,82	0	
22	120	1200 Ca	ameroon	0	1	0	0	,00,	,00	,00,	3,59	0	
23	124	1241 Ca	ameroon	0	0	0	0	1,00	1,00	,00,	4,44	1	
24	132	1320 Ca	ape Verde	0	1	0	0	,00,	,00	,00,	4,05	0	
25	144	1440 Sri	i Lanka	1	0	0	0	,00	,00	,00,	3,98	0	
26	152	1520 Ch	nile	0	0	0	1	,00,	,00,	,00,	3,83	0	
27	152	1521 Ch	nile	0	0	0	1	00	1.00	00	4 22	1	

The level 2 file is at the respondent level

Step 5: The level 2 file

- The original main harmonized file is a level 2 file.
- Clean it from all the unnecessary information, i.e. the information that is not at the respondent level: delete all the trust variables and save as level 2.



The time-series file

Step 6: Create the time-series file

- From the level 1 file, aggregate by country, year and institution in order to have one line per country-year per institution.
- It becomes possible to perform local regressions that give an idea of the trends in trust for different institutions in different regions and overall.



Time-series file: countryyear-institution category

															Vi	sible : 7 varial	bles
	Sountry	💑 Year	instREC	🔗 Trust_mean	N_BREAK	\delta region	💑 region2	var	var	var	var	var	var	var	var	var	
79	4	2005	11	5,20	2555	1	1,00										
30	50	2005	11	4,95	2909	1	1,00										
81	64	2005	11	5,57	2118	1	1,00										
32	96	2004	11	6,35	799	1	1,00										
33	116	2004	11	4,39	2327	1	1,00										
34	116	2007	11	4,83	2950	1	1,00										
35	116	2008	11	4,92	3616	1	1,00										
36	116	2012	11	5,17	4630	1	1,00										
37	144	2003	11	3,62	2339	1	1,00										
88	144	2005	11	3,44	2377	1	1,00										
89	156	2002	11	6,36	8821	1	1,00										
90	156	2003	11	5,30	2354	1	1,00										
91	156	2006	11	4,91	5884	1	1,00										
92	156	2008	11	5,66	14042	1	1,00										
93	156	2011	11	5,63	9848	1	1,00										
94	158	2001	11	3,58	3702	1	1,00										
95	158	2006	11	3,44	8693	1	1,00										
6	158	2010	11	3,57	5908	1	1,00										
17	344	2001	11	4,14	2133	1	1,00										
98	344	2006	11	4,14	2888	1	1,00										
19	344	2007	11	4,60	2981	1	1,00										
00	344	2012	11	4,40	4333	1	1,00										
01	356	2003	11	4,59	2385	1	1,00										
02	356	2005	11	4,56	3649	1	1,00										
103	360	2004	11	4,97	2444	1	1,00										
104	360	2006	11	4,51	6189	1	1,00										
105	360	2007	11	4,86	2917	1	1,00										
100	0.00	2011	44	4 22	5055	4	1.00										
e de donnée	s Vue des variat	bles					••••				Le proc	esseur IBM S	PSS Statistics	est prêt	Unicod	e:ON	

Synthesis of the data

At the time being.

- Measures: 12,340,179
- Respondents: 1,023,681
- Countries-years: 659 (97 double)
- Countries-source-years: 756
- Countries: 102 (47 double)
- Countries-source:149
- Time-series: 5913
- In progress: Complete Africa and Mena with new waves, add WVS in Asia + new waves, add Eastern Europe and Russia.

An extra step: match external data

- Different sources of data can be matched at level 3 -
 - country-year -- or at level 4 -- country:
 - Quality of government data
 - V-DEM project data
 - Word Governance indicators

For example:

 Solt Gini, GDP, WGI, Polity index, proportion of urban population, ethnic and religious diversity, etc.

The main problems:

- Some indices do not vary enough over time: preferable to introduce them at the country level.
- Lack of data outside the western world.

Concretely : an example of results

TUSL		ono preu				-
	Model 0		Example	1	Example	2
Intercept	4.145	***	4.239	***	3.598	***
Level Measure						
Media (REF)						
Church			0.817	***	0.823	***
Year			-0.090	***	-0.089	***
Trade Unions			-0.682	***	-0.682	***
ONG- Civil Society			-0.262	***	-0.262	***
Army			-0.005	n.s.	-0.005	n.s.
Police			-0.515	***	-0.515	***
Public Admin.			-0.442	***	-0.442	***
Judiciary			-0.500	***	-0.500	***
Finance			-0.204	***	-0.204	***
Enterprises			-0.372	***	-0.372	***
Governments			-0 295	***	-0 295	***
Polity2			-0.031	***	-0.031	***
Political Parties			-0.916	***	-0.916	***
Politv2			-0.038	***	-0.038	***
Elections- Elect. Commis.			-0.524	***	-0.524	***
Polity2			0.016	***	0.016	***
International Org.			-0.172	***	-0.172	***
Level Respondent						
Lever Respondent			0.003		0.003	
Voung (Loss than 20.)			0.002	n.s. ***	0.002	n.s.
Old (60 plus)			0.001	***	0.001	***
Prop Non-resp.			0.219	***	0.033	***
Level Country-Source	-Year					
Time			0.023	***	0.021	**
Time2			0.001	n.s.	0.001	n.s.
Prop_urban population			-0.015	***	-0.010	**
LnGDP			0.128	*	0.122	*
Polity2			-0.002	n.s.	0.004	n.s.
Gini evolution			0.001	n.s.	-0.002	n.s.
Level Country-Source						
Central/South America (RI	EF)					
Asia					0.339	*
Africa					0.574	***
West Asia N. Africa					0.444	*
LAPOP					0.267	*
WVS					0.417	**
Variance						
Measures	2.537	63.6%	2.294	61.5%	2.294	62.7%
Respondents	1.046	26.2%	1.064	28.6%	1.064	29.1%
Country-Source-Year	0.099	2.5%	0.168	4.5%	0.167	4.6%
Country-Source	0.304	7.6%	0.202	5.4%	0.132	3.6%
Total	3.986		3.728		3.657	
Deviance	38255971		32450232	5805739	32450199	33
d	50255571		2/	2005739	27	35

A complete analysis with 4 levels.



At level 1: Trust in institutions

Trust in	instituti	ons - p	redictors at 3	3 & 4 le	evels	
	Model 0		Example	1	Example	2
Intercept	4.145389	***	4.239	***	3.598344	***
Level Measure						
Media (REF)						
Church			0.817	***	0.823	***
Year			-0.090	***	-0.089	***
Trade Unions			-0.682	***	-0.682	* * *
ONG- Civil Society			-0.262	***	-0.262	***
Army In blu	IE.	\sum	-0.005	n.s.	-0.005	n.s.
Police			-0.515	* * *	-0.515	***
Public Admin. Cross	<u>S-leve</u>		-0.442	***	-0.442	* * *
Judiciary	actior	s	-0.500	***	-0.500	***
Finance			-0.204	***	-0.204	* * *
Enterprises			-0.372	***	-0.372	***
Governments			-0.295	***	-0.295	***
Polity2			-0.031	***	-0.031	***
Political Parties			-0.916	***	-0.916	***
Polity2			-0.038	***	-0.038	***
Elections- Elect. Commis.			-0.524	***	-0.524	***
Polity2			0.016	***	0.016	***
International Org.			-0.172	***	-0.172	***

 Church highest, but decreasing.

 Political parties & trade unions lowest.

 More democratic: Hi Elections; Low GVT & pol. parties.

At level 2: Respondents

Trust	in instituti	ons - p	redictors at	3 & 4 le	evels	
	Model 0		Example	1	Example	2
Intercept	4.145	***	4.239	***	3.598	***
Level Measure						
Media (REF)						
Church			0.817	***	0.823	***
Year			-0.090	***	-0.089	***
Trade Unions			-0.682	***	-0.682	***
ONG- Civil Society			-0.262	***	-0.262	***
Army			-0.005	n.s.	-0.005	n.s.
Police			-0.515	***	-0.515	***
Public Admin.			-0.442	***	-0.442	***
Judiciary			-0.500	***	-0.500	***
Finance			-0.204	***	-0.204	***
Enterprises			-0.372	***	-0.372	***
Governments			-0.295	***	-0.295	***
Polity2			-0.031	***	-0.031	***
Political Parties			-0.916	***	-0.916	***
Polity2			-0.038	***	-0.038	***
Elections- Elect. Commis.			-0.524	***	-0.524	***
Polity2			0.016	***	0.016	***
International Org.			-0.172	* * *	-0.172	***
Level Respondent						
woman			0.002	n.s.	0.002	n.s.
Young (Less than 30)			0.011	***	0.011	***
Old (60 plus)			0.099	***	0.099	***
Prop_Non-resp.			0.219	***	0.219	***

- No difference in average trust according to sex
- Both younger and older people are more trustful than middle-aged people.
- More Item non response = more trust.

At level 3: Country-year-source

Level Country-Source-	/ear					
Time			0.023	***	0.021	**
Time2			0.001	n.s.	0.001	n.s.
Prop_urban population			-0.015	***	-0.010	**
LnGDP			0.128	*	0.122	*
Polity2			-0.002	n.s.	0.004	n.s.
Gini evolution			0.001	n.s.	-0.002	n.s.
Level Country-Source						
Central/South America (REF	:)					
Asia					0.339	*
Africa					0.574	***
West Asia N. Africa					0.444	*
LAPOP					0.267	*
WVS					0.417	**
Variance						
Measures	2.537	63.6%	2.294	61.5%	2.294	62.7%
Respondents	1.046	26.2%	1.064	28.6%	1.064	29.1%
Country-Source-Year	0.099	2.5%	0.168	4.5%	0.167	4.6%
Country-Source	0.304	7.6%	0.202	5.4%	0.132	3.6%
Total	3.986		3.728		3.657	
Deviance	38255971		32450232	5805739	32450199	33
dl	5		34	29	37	3

 Trust increase with time.

 Hi Prop urban population= lower trust.

Hi GDP= higher trust.

At level 4: Country-source

Level Country-Source-	(ear					
Time			0.023	***	0.021	**
Time2			0.001	n.s.	0.001	n.s.
Prop_urban population			- <mark>0.01</mark> 5	***	-0.010	**
LnGDP			0.128	*	0.122	*
Polity2			-0.002	n.s.	0.004	n.s.
Gini evolution			0.001	n.s.	-0.002	n.s.
Level Country-Source						
Central/South America (REF	:)					
Asia					0.339	*
Africa					0.574	***
West Asia N. Africa					0.444	*
LAPOP					0.267	*
WVS					0.417	**
Variance						
Measures	2.537	63.6%	2.294	61.5%	2.294	62.7%
Respondents	1.046	26.2%	1.064	28.6%	1.064	29.1%
Country-Source-Year	0.099	2.5%	0.168	4.5%	0.167	4.6%
Country-Source	0.304	7.6%	0.202	5.4%	0.132	3.6%
Total	3.986		3.728		3.657	
Deviance	38255971		32450232	5805739	32450199	33
dl	5		34	29	37	3

 Higher trust when source is LAPOP or WVS.

 Higher trust outside Latin America, even more in Sub Saharan Africa.

Distribution of Variance

Level Country-Source-	fear					
Time			0.023	***	0.021	**
Time2			0.001	n.s.	0.001	n.s.
Prop_urban population			-0.015	***	-0.010	**
LnGDP			0.128	*	0.122	*
Polity2			-0.002	n.s.	0.004	n.s.
Gini evolution			0.001	n.s.	-0.002	n.s.
Level Country-Source						
Central/South America (REF	-)					
Asia					0.339	*
Africa					0.574	* * *
West Asia N. Africa					0.444	*
LAPOP					0.267	*
WVS					0.417	**
Variance						
Measures	2.537	63.6%	2.294	61.5%	2.294	62.7%
Respondents	1.046	26.2%	1.064	28.6%	1.064	29.1%
Country-Source-Year	0.099	2.5%	0.168	4.5%	0.167	4.6%
Country-Source	0.304	7.6%	0.202	5.4%	0.132	3.6%
Total	3.986		3.728		3.657	
Deviance	38255971		32450232	5805739	32450199	33
dl	5		34	29	37	3

63% of the variance is within individuals, between measures.

- Explained variance:
- At level 1: 9,6%
- Between countries: 56.6%

Questions to be resolved:

- What should we do about weighting?
 - At the individual level: not all files have equivalent weights, or even weights.
 - At the country-level: It would give a weight that is way too large to countries like Brasil in Latin America or China in Asia.
- Decide on the level at which external data should be matched.
- Find more relevant indicators of the context of each country.



Conclusion

- The method is now well developped, systematized and described.
- The distribution of variance between levels show how important it is to take into account the within individuals-between measures variance.
- Another advantage is the possibility of cross-level interactions.
- There is some more recent data to add in order to have more powerful analyses.
 - With the introduction of Eastern Europe this summer and new data for Asia and Africa, we will have covered all the countries outside of the "western world".