Survey Methodology, Elections and the Law

Paper presented by Claire Durand, Department of sociology, University of Montreal, At the Canadian Political Science Association Meeting, June 2004, Winnipeg, Canada

Recent context

- Thursday, May 20, 2004, Tony Burman, editor-in-chief of CBC, declared that CBC will not commission voter-preference polls during the electoral campaign. Two reasons are invoked:
 - A) Polls "suck the oxigen right out of the room" in terms of what's engaging, relevant and interesting to voters.
 - B) The poll samples are often so small as to be statistically meaningless. "The response rate is so low that the methodology is under attack and borders on the unscientific".

Polls may influence elections, bad polls can mislead the population, the political parties, the media, etc.

- There has been a number of instances where the polls misled the population, either by not announcing the right winner or giving the impression that a party was well in advance when it was not the case (US, 1948; Great Britain, 1992, 1997, etc.)
- Known occurrences that we studied are the Quebec election of 1998, the Canadian election of 2000 (in Quebec) and the French election of 2002.

Survey methodology and electoral polls

- Vachon and coll. (1999) showed, in the context of the Canadian election of 1997, that the more rigorous the methodology used, the less mean error and the less variation in error in the estimation of voter preference.
- Durand, Blais and colleagues (2001, 2002) showed that, in the case of the 1998 Quebec election, some methodological practices related to samples and refusal rates could explain the discrepancies between the polls and the vote.
- Durand (2002) showed that, in the case of the Canadian 2000 election, some pollsters seemed to produce estimates that were much more variable than others.



Polls and the law

- France (1977, 2002) at first banned the publication of polls during the week preceding the election and then, since 2002, only on the day preceding the election and on election day. The change became necessary because the new means of communication give access to poll results outside the country and because of european laws.
- In addition, the French law makes compulsory the deposit of all relevant technical and methodological information at the *Commission des sondages*, information that is made public to any individual who asks for it.
- In practice, the pollsters had an arrangement with the Commission so that the most relevant information, the figures before any adjustment, was kept secret. In addition, the Commission prohibited any photocopy of the technical information so that it was necessary to copy everything in handwriting.





B firs	Sasi t pu cellov	c inf ublis	ori che	ma d j	atio: poll	n pr s of	ovic the	led can	, tv npa mati	vo aig	n
Sponsor	Polls	ter Publica- tion	Name sponsor pollste provid	of and du er si ed c	Period tring which urvey was conducted	Population from which sample was drawn	No. of people "contacted" (respondents)	% of undecided	Margin of error	Wording	Means by which a report ma be obtain
Globe and ma	il/ Ipsos-	Reid June 1st	v v	N	May 28-30	-	887	11,3%	3,3%√	~	
Toronto Sta	r Eko	os May 28	~	М	lay 25-27✓	18 years +	1306	-	2,7%✓	~	
La Presse Article 1	Eko	os May 28	~	М	lay 25-27√	Canadiens 18+	1306	10. 20 <mark>.</mark> 09.20 77	2,7%✓	~	
La Presse Article 2 (QC	Eko C)	os May 28	~	М	lay 25-27√		344	-	<mark>5,0%*</mark>	~	
Sponsor	Pollster	Sampling method	l Size of initial sample	Respon	nse Refusals and ineligibles (N & %)	Time of da interviev	y of % nor vs discloser	Allocatio s** of non discloser	Adjustment & weighting		
Globe and mail/ CTV	Ipsos-Reid	"representative randomly selected sample"			-		12%	12% -		region, age, sex, Census 2001	
Lo Prosso/	Ekos	Ekos "random sample"		-	=	-	(19,5%) -	regio	region, age, sex, Census (?)	

What about this election? (...)

"Les perles" in media reporting

- In BC, Liberals are in third place, with 29%, behind the NDP's 30% and well behind the Conservative who have 37%...(margin of error? About 8%+) Toronto Star, May 28.
- La Presse knows only two parties in ROC.
- Ekos interviewed 1306 Canadians... with a margin of error of 2,7% ... BUT only 1051 indicated their preference (margin 3%).

 "The time line" : side by side election 2000, poll of August 2002, poll of August 2003, polls of April 2004, May 2004, etc. with same distance between the points.







What about this election?

Everything is in place for...

- The presence of bad polls and bad poll reporting
- An influence of the polls on the campaign
- A possible underestimation of support for the Liberals, at least in Quebec

